

**Guide for
Participatory Appraisal, Monitoring and Evaluation
(PAME)**



Final Draft

Written and edited by:



FOR

The Mauritian Government
Department of Women Affairs

Written and edited by:



T · R · U · S · T
PO Box 32351, Braamfontein, 2017, South Africa
Tel: +27 11 403 3425, Fax: +27 11 403 1260
Web site: www.mvula.co.za

Author and editor: Erik Harvey
Contributing authors: Shadrack Dau, Alana Potter, Phumla Mei
Additional editing: Alana Potter, Kathy Eales, Ilse Wilson

Please refer to Appendix B for the list of references and resources used in producing this guide.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	2
Table of Figures.....	3
Chapter 1 : Purpose and Overview of this Guide.....	4
1.1. Purpose of this guide.....	4
1.2. Who is this guide for?.....	4
1.3. How this guide is structured.....	4
Chapter 2 : Introducing Participatory Methodologies.....	4
2.1. What are participatory methods?.....	4
2.2. Why use participatory methodologies?.....	5
2.3. Core principles of participatory methodologies.....	6
2.4. Strengths and weaknesses of participatory methodologies.....	7
Participatory framework.....	8
2.6. Participatory methods for monitoring and evaluation.....	10
2.6.1. What is participatory monitoring and evaluation?.....	10
2.6.2. Principles of participatory monitoring and evaluation.....	10
2.6.3. Practical applications of participatory monitoring and evaluation.....	10
2.7. Participatory baselines.....	11
2.8. Participatory monitoring.....	11
2.8.1. Advantages of participatory monitoring.....	12
2.9. Participatory evaluation.....	13
2.9.1. Why use participatory methodologies for evaluations.....	13
2.9.2. Characteristics and advantages of participatory evaluation.....	14
2.9.3. The advantages and disadvantages of internal and external evaluations.....	15
2.9.4. When to do an evaluation?.....	17
Chapter 3 : Implementing this Guide.....	17
3.1. Being a facilitator.....	17
3.1.1. Listening versus talking.....	18
3.1.2. Guiding versus directing.....	19
3.1.3. Creating an enabling participatory environment.....	19
3.1.4. Giving instructions.....	20
3.1.5. Relinquishing control and stepping back.....	20
3.1.6. Probing and stimulating.....	21
3.1.7. Dealing with difficult participants.....	21
3.1.8. Recording outputs and managing materials.....	22
3.1.9. Analysing and presenting outputs for other audiences.....	22
3.2. Preparing yourself.....	23
3.3. Your toolkit.....	24
3.3.1. Making or adapting your tools.....	24
3.3.2. Guidelines for artists.....	25
3.4. Tools and activities to help train facilitators.....	26
3.4.1. Cup exercise.....	26
3.4.2. Johari's window.....	27
3.4.3. Factors that help or hinder good participation.....	28
3.4.4. SARAR resistance to change continuum.....	29
3.4.5. Force-field analysis.....	30
Chapter 4 : Getting Started.....	31
4.1. Defining your purpose.....	31
4.2. Open ended versus output-orientation.....	31
4.3. When do you start?.....	32

4.3.1. Starting at problem identification stage.....	32
4.3.2. Starting at implementation stage	32
4.3.3. Starting after implementation	33
4.4. Getting permission.....	33
4.5. Marketing your presence and the methodology	33
4.6. Identifying relevant stakeholders	34
Chapter 5 : Steps to Take and Tools to Use	35
5.1. First things first	35
5.1.1. Introductory tools and activities.....	35
5.1.2. Hopes and fears tools and activities	36
5.2. Problem identification (STEP 1)	36
5.2.1. Unserialised posters	36
5.2.2. Flexi-flans.....	37
5.2.3. Community mapping	38
5.2.4. Participatory surveys.....	38
5.3. Problem analysis (STEP 2)	39
5.3.1. Community mapping and flexi-flans.....	39
5.3.2. Pocket chart	39
5.3.3. Gender analysis	40
5.3.4. Three-pile sorting	41
5.3.5. What is poverty / who is poor?	42
5.3.6. Critical incident analysis.....	42
5.3.7. Family dynamics	43
5.3.8. Disease transmission routes (and barriers)	45
5.3.9. Water quality testing	46
5.4. Planning for solutions (STEP 3)	47
5.4.1. Story with a gap	47
5.4.2. Open-ended social drama.....	48
5.4.3. Disease barriers.....	49
5.5. Selecting options (STEP 4)	49
5.5.1. Decision matrix	49
5.5.2. Option ladders.....	50
5.5.3. Pocket chart.....	51
5.5.4. Question box.....	52
5.6. Planning for change (STEP 5).....	52
5.6.1. Roles and responsibilities	52
5.6.2. Planning posters	55
5.6.3. Problem box.....	56
5.7. Planning for monitoring and evaluation (STEP 6)	56
5.8. Participatory evaluation (STEP 7)	58
Appendices	61
Appendix A: 'The Seven Steps Chart'	62
Appendix B: References and Resources	63

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Participatory process framework.....	8
Figure 2: Step-by-step guide for artists.....	26
Figure 3: Force-field chart.....	30
Figure 4: Pocket chart.....	40
Figure 5: Decision matrix	50

Chapter 1: Purpose and Overview of this Guide

1.1. Purpose of this guide

The intention of this guide is to familiarise community development workers with the knowledge and skills required for using participatory development methodologies. This guide should be seen as a reference and resource manual that will help guide development workers new to this field. This manual should preferably be introduced to development workers with a significant experiential training programme facilitated by skilled development workers / trainers.

This guide provides the basis for understanding participatory methodologies and we encourage the reader to explore other texts listed in the reference section at the end of this document. However, nothing can teach these approaches better than a mentored process during actual implementation and subsequent experience and self-evaluation.

1.2. Who is this guide for?

This guide is intended as a manual for the following people:

- Government and non-government community development workers.
- Government and non-government development planners.
- Community based development field workers.

1.3. How this guide is structured

This guide is structured as follows:

- Chapter 1:** A description of the purpose of this document and its intended target users.
- Chapter 2:** A background and introduction to participatory methodologies allowing the user to understand the basic principles and the reasons why these methodologies are used.
- Chapter 3:** This section helps the reader gain the skills and insights required by a good facilitator.
- Chapter 4:** Guidelines for a facilitator starting up a participatory process.
- Chapter 5:** A step-by-step guide through the process including a description of which tools to use at each stage.
- Appendices:** Provides a reference guide of the seven-step process as well as a set of sample tools.

Chapter 2: Introducing Participatory Methodologies

2.1. What are participatory methods?

You could just as well ask, “What is a developmental process?” Is it something a government or development organisation does *for* a community, or is it something a government or development organisation does to *assist* a community to make *informed* choices and *actions* in order to improve the livelihoods of the community members? Too often governments have made the error of imposing development solutions on communities. Regardless of their good intentions, external agents cannot possibly understand all local community issues, cultural considerations and conditions, resulting in the implementation of ‘solutions’ that are all too often

inappropriate and unacceptable to the community. As a result, these *top-down* solutions are not sustainable.

Participatory methodologies have been developed in response to these sustainability problems and involve the use of processes and tools that allow external agents such as governments and development organisations to work *with* communities to arrive at sustainable and acceptable solutions to development problems.

Participatory methodologies recognise that people within communities are *best placed* to make decisions that affect their lives. Participatory approaches inherently believe in and build on the skills, knowledge, experience and culture of community groupings, in order to engage them in designing and implementing their own development goals.

This said, it must be noted that participatory methods are only part of a broader participatory development process. A participatory development process must include a full commitment to:

- Processes in which people with a legitimate interest (stakeholders) influence and share control over development initiatives and the decisions and resources that affect them. In other words:
 - ✓ Involvement of stakeholders in all phases of a development project cycle.
 - ✓ Promotion of the role of civil society in the development process.
 - ✓ Use of participatory methodologies to help ensure *real* and *meaningful* participation by all, especially including those who may be illiterate.
 - ✓ A full commitment by all to allow real stakeholder participation to become a part of any normal development process.

2.2. Why use participatory methodologies?

Participatory methodologies have gained considerable support from donors, governments and practitioners around the world since the 1980s. These approaches emerged and gained popularity as alternatives to the 'blueprint' approach to top-down development employed by many extension agents, international agencies and research institutions. Participatory methodologies have subsequently been developed and refined for different purposes. Some are focused broadly, like PRA (**P**articipatory **R**ural **A**ppraisal) while others have been designed for a specific sector, like PHAST (**P**articipatory **H**ygien**e** and **S**anitation **T**ransformation) in the water supply and environmental sanitation sector.

The reason for the increasing popularity of participatory methodologies is the recognition that development projects utilising participatory methodologies are more *sustainable* over the long term. Community 'ownership' of projects is greatly enhanced when people at local level meaningfully assess their problems, design interventions to address these problems, implement their strategies and manage their interventions over time.

Real participation *empowers* and *mobilises* people as actors and overseers of their own development. The benefits extend well beyond the single development initiative. People participating in such processes develop critical skills and experience. The spin-offs of this are improved self-development processes, improved local economic development and therefore more sustainable communities and societies.

Participatory approaches help to encourage the participation of individuals in group-processes, no matter their age, sex, social class or educational background. The

methodologies are particularly useful for encouraging the participation of women in male dominated societies.

Participation can:

- Improve project design by:
 - ✓ Giving more accurate data on environmental, social and cultural issues.
 - ✓ Giving better understanding of stakeholders' felt needs and priorities.
- Help resolve / manage conflict by:
 - ✓ Identifying common ground.
 - ✓ Finding solutions that serve the needs of all.
- Strengthen local institutional capacities such as:
 - ✓ Management skills.
 - ✓ Self-reliance.
 - ✓ Self-esteem and confidence.
 - ✓ Transparency and accountability.
 - ✓ Access to greater resources.
- Provide better monitoring of the equitable distribution of the benefits, so that:
 - ✓ Remedial action can be taken quickly and easily.
 - ✓ Fairness and commitment are ensured.
 - ✓ Improved credibility of the processes is ensured.

People look after things better when they have been part of decision making and implementation, than when others have planned and implemented for them. The solution may not be the best, but it is their solution and they are therefore more likely to maintain and support it.

2.3. Core principles of participatory methodologies

The majority of participatory methodologies used today are based on a set of principles called SARAR:

- | | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Self-esteem | - is built through active participation, through being at the centre of your own development. |
| Associative strengths | - having and taking strength from a mix of people and their skills resulting in better decisions and more support in implementing them. |
| Resourcefulness | - local people are resourceful in taking initiative and finding solutions to problems that affect them. |
| Action-planning | - local people can plan and take action - they are not passive recipients. |
| Responsibility | - taking responsibility for the outcomes local people identify and commit to (local people only have as much power to take action as their self responsibility is inspired and supported through participatory planning and decision-making processes). |

By, interpreting or 'unpacking' these principles in the context of sustainable development, we understand that:

- Development is people-centred.

- ☑ Development is not a process in a single direction, but a process of continuous adaptation, problem solving and exploitation of opportunities. The reasons why problems occur are always complex and often circular in nature, never simple or linear.
- ☑ Local conditions are diverse and complex and change rapidly.
- ☑ Even though people may be poor, they are knowledgeable and capable of self-reliant organisation. All individuals in a community have the ability to understand their own development opportunities and constraints.
- ☑ There must be community participation in and ownership of all processes.
- ☑ Communities must take responsibility for decision making and action planning.
- ☑ Communities must be able to make informed, appropriate local choices.
- ☑ Communities must take responsibility for implementation.
- ☑ Communities must take responsibility for sustaining their actions.
- ☑ Local capacity development must be built into the process.
- ☑ Governments, development organisations and development professionals must transform to put poor people, their ideas and insights, first.

2.4. Strengths and weaknesses of participatory methodologies

No one methodology is foolproof. It is therefore important to highlight some of the strengths and weaknesses of participatory approaches.

As noted above, the underlying premise of participatory methodologies is that people (regardless of age, gender, educational background, economic situation or social status) have the skills and insights to analyse, plan, implement, assess and monitor or modify development projects that affect their lives. More importantly, proponents of participatory approaches contend that local people are best suited to such planning and programming, given their intimate understanding of their world, and of what is and is not possible within that world. This means development agents become facilitators rather than key role players or designers in a development project.

Participatory approaches, therefore, are designed “to enable rural people to do their own investigations, to share their knowledge and teach us, to do the analysis and presentations, to plan and to own outcomes” (*Chambers 1983*)

Evaluations of participatory methodologies have demonstrated the following strengths:

- ☑ Community management of projects is achievable.
- ☑ Commitment is considerable as these projects are owned and managed by local communities.
- ☑ Local people, regardless of economic means, are willing and able to contribute both financial and human resources to their development if they feel they have played the central role in the planning, design and implementation of the project.
- ☑ The chances of interventions being sustainable are greatly improved.
- ☑ ‘Spin-off’ internal development initiatives are frequent.
- ☑ The need for government subsidies for services is reduced or eliminated in projects with a participatory focus.

Many people have viewed participatory methodologies as ‘soft’, ‘unscientific’ and time consuming¹. And, despite the growing popularity of participatory approaches to development, the reality is that qualitative programming does not command the same respect or have the same influence in the policy making process as quantitative inquiries. These concerns have been challenged by many that utilise participatory approaches in the field, but this debate is beyond the scope of this guide.

Common weaknesses of participatory programming that should be borne in mind include:

- ☑ The lack of clarity about the nature and dynamics of ‘participation’, including how power relations at local level can often shape participatory programmes.
- ☑ The assumption that facilitators are neutral observers in the process is misguided.
- ☑ The reality that much of what is labelled participatory is in fact extractive.
- ☑ The wrong assumption that participatory methodologies are, by themselves, empowering.
- ☑ The reality that tools can be manipulated to ensure an outcome that fits with what the facilitator wants.
- ☑ The tendency of the analysis to be anecdotal or to give great significance to the insights generated by a relatively small number of unspecified participants.
- ☑ The reality, that many participatory approaches are now being applied like a blueprint. Reasons are complex, but are mainly because facilitators and planners do not have a clear understanding of the participatory methodologies and their principles.

2.5. Participatory framework




Figure 1: Participatory process framework.

¹ This is not true if well facilitated. Moreover, participatory practitioners constantly point out that top-down failed projects (of which there are many here and abroad) require additional time and resources to fix, and that this should be included in the calculations of time and costs in the longer term.

The participatory process framework shown in Figure 1 defines seven steps that are followed in any participatory development process. In fact, these steps are no different from those a good municipal engineer would follow for rectifying problems on a water system in an urban area. The key difference in the participatory process is that these steps involve full and real participation by community members, hopefully with the full support of their government authorities. Each step is briefly described below:

STEP 1: Problem Identification: This step uses extremely open-ended tools and activities to explore and discover the real problems in the community. If too many problems are identified, it may be necessary to ask the group to prioritise them.

STEP 2: Problem Analysis: The identified problems are analysed by using tools that assist the group to identify:

- The extent of the problem.
- The real impacts of the problem.
- Any related problems or factors.

STEP 3: Planning for Solutions: Here the group goes through a process of identifying:

- Possible solutions to the problem.
- The relative impacts of each of the solutions on the problem.
- Possible resources (people, materials, funding, etc) that would be needed for each solution.

STEP 4: Selecting Options: All the solutions are then prioritised into groupings that allow the community group to select those that will have the biggest impact in solving the problem and will also be the most feasible to implement with the available resources.

STEP 5: Planning for Change: The selected solutions are then scrutinised and detailed implementation plans are made.

STEP 6: Planning for Monitoring and Evaluation: Before or during the early stages of implementation, a plan is put in place to assist the community to monitor and evaluate the progress, impact, success and failure of the implementation process. The plans that are included should make provision for taking corrective action if required.

STEP 7: Participatory Evaluation: After implementation, whether successful or not, the community should go through a frank and participatory evaluation of the process. This will help them identify whether or not any further actions are required. It will also assist them to learn from the process to improve future development processes.

The methods for implementing these seven steps as a facilitator are discussed in further detail in Chapter 5.

2.6. Participatory methods for monitoring and evaluation

Steps six and seven within the participatory framework presented above, require some further definition due to their critical role within a sustainable development process. This section serves to provide an introduction to participatory monitoring and evaluation (PM&E). Sections 2.8 and 2.9 provide more in-depth discussions on these two areas of participatory methodologies. Sections 5.7 and 5.8 provide further details on the steps required and the tools used for PM&E.

2.6.1. What is participatory monitoring and evaluation?

Participatory monitoring and evaluation (PM&E) is a process for learning from experience. It helps us to learn, and it ensures that we plan better next time, or improve upon existing ways of doing things. It is above all, a system developed primarily for use by those who are beneficiaries of a project or program. As part of a *self-management* system, it enables the various partners in development to learn from experience, from successes and from failures, and to do better in future. Most importantly, if monitoring also happens at local level, rather than only at development or government agencies, it enables corrective action to be taken at the lowest and most immediate level. As a result, the need for costly external interventions to address problems emerging from monitoring, are minimised.

The methodologies employed for participatory monitoring and evaluation are essentially the same. The monitoring process looks at providing a system of basic information on an ongoing basis and allows a fluid adaptation of implementation processes. The evaluation process, on the other hand, allows for more periodic in-depth assessments and introspection of performance and usually plays a larger role in informing methodology and policy changes.

2.6.2. Principles of participatory monitoring and evaluation

At the heart of PM&E, are four principles (directly linked to the general principles of participatory methodologies):

- ☑ **Participation**, which means opening up the design of the process to include those most directly affected, and agreeing to analyse data together.
- ☑ The inclusiveness of PM&E requires **negotiation** to reach agreement about what will be monitored or evaluated, how and when data will be collected and analysed, what the data actually means, and how findings will be shared, and who will take corrective action on which issues.
- ☑ This leads to **learning** that becomes the basis for subsequent improvement and corrective action.
- ☑ Since the number, role and skills of stakeholders, the external environment, and other factors change over time, **flexibility** is essential.

2.6.3. Practical applications of participatory monitoring and evaluation

PM&E can be used for many purposes, for example:

- ☑ Governments and aid organisations can use it as a way of becoming more accountable, by giving communities the opportunity to speak out about local impacts.
- ☑ At a community level, PM&E can be used to help people to sustain local initiatives and manage conflicts.
- ☑ Banks and other large commercial enterprises can employ similar approaches to assess their ethical and environmental performance through, for instance, social audits.

2.7. Participatory baselines

A participatory baseline provides a description and information, mainly from the internal stakeholder's perspective, of a specific situation. It is done so that activities can be focused, and change can be measured by comparison with similar situations at some future time. Information is identified and collected to describe the present situation as it specifically relates to the objectives. For example, if one of the objectives is to increase the local food production in a community, the baseline information required might include:

- What is the present food production?
- What are the current foods grown, by whom and how?
- What are the current farming practices?
- What is household food consumption?

A participatory baseline enables insiders to measure and evaluate change in specific conditions providing a common understanding, from the beginning, of how change will be measured. The baseline is the base to which any future monitoring and evaluation process will be compared.

The benefits of participatory baselines can be summarised as follows:

- The changes and benefits of the development are readily visible to the stakeholders.
- Provides a way to obtain information when required.
- Complements baselines required by outsiders.
- Information is immediately useful to insiders and outsiders.
- Can identify research needs (information gaps).

The process of defining a baseline follows a similar procedure to that of participatory monitoring and evaluation as described in sections 5.7 and 5.8. Many of the tools, as described in Chapter 5, can be used for this process.

2.8. Participatory monitoring

Participatory monitoring of a project is the planned collection, analysis and dissemination of information by local stakeholders for the purposes of informing them on the progress of the project. To be truly participatory, the decisions on the type of information to collect, how to collect it, how to analyse it and the means of dissemination, lies with the local stakeholders.

The main purpose of participatory monitoring is to provide information during the life of the project, so that adjustments and modifications can be made if necessary.

Participatory monitoring ensures that all role players agree on the objectives of the project and agree on how progress will be monitored. Keeping track of activities by recording information on an ongoing basis, and taking the time to stop and analyse the information monitored, can provide important immediate feedback. This information can also be used in the future for participatory evaluations.

The main principles of participatory monitoring processes can be summarised as follows:

- Monitoring system designed by local stakeholders.
- External monitoring needs defined from local monitoring needs, not the other way round
- Provides ongoing information on project progress.

- ☑ Ensures information transparency.
- ☑ Information is analysed locally.
- ☑ Follow-up actions defined and implemented locally.

2.8.1. Advantages of participatory monitoring

The following is a list of some of the advantages of participatory monitoring systems:

- ☑ Provides an ongoing picture:
 - ✓ Participatory monitoring provides an ongoing picture that allows the community to determine whether activities are progressing as planned. It may also show when activities are not leading to objectives, so that early adjustments can be made.
- ☑ Problems are identified and solutions sought early:
 - ✓ Participatory monitoring provides an 'early warning' that identifies problems at an early stage. Solutions can then be sought before the problems get out of hand.
 - ✓ Because local stakeholders own the development process and the monitoring system, the incentive to take action is high. As a result action is usually taken quickly without the intervention of outsiders.
- ☑ Good standards are maintained:
 - ✓ Continuous feedback from local stakeholders throughout the life of the activities ensures that the quality of the activities is sufficient to provide good results.
- ☑ Resources are used effectively:
 - ✓ Participatory monitoring can effectively control the resources that are required to produce a certain effect, or help to distribute resources differently to get a better effect.
 - ✓ Because this is done locally in communities that are accustomed to resource scarcities, the efficiency of use of available resources naturally improves.
- ☑ Complete picture of project is produced:
 - ✓ When local stakeholders are in control of monitoring, the results are examined relative to past local experience. This broader picture enhances all other benefits of monitoring.
- ☑ Information base for future evaluations:
 - ✓ Both insiders and outsiders can benefit from the information base provided by participatory monitoring, which can provide realistic information while also showing trends.

While participatory monitoring can be introduced at any stage, it is best introduced at the beginning of a project process, before activities are implemented. The entire monitoring system can be designed as part of the overall participatory project design. If the activities are already ongoing, there are still many benefits to be had from introducing participatory monitoring. If the current monitoring is not working well, if the information that is generated is not useful to local stakeholders, or if other participatory approaches are being tried, introducing participatory monitoring will be appropriate. It is useful to compare the kind and value of information from before and after the introduction of participatory monitoring, to clearly be able to see its benefits and to learn from the process.

2.9. Participatory evaluation

Participatory evaluation involves the collective examination and assessment of a program or project by stakeholders and role-players. It is people-centred whereby project stakeholders and role-players are key actors within the evaluation process and not the mere objects of the evaluation. It is a process that leads to corrective action by involving all levels of role-players in shared decision-making. Participatory evaluation is an opportunity for both outsiders and insiders to stop and reflect on the past in order to make decisions about the future.

Participatory evaluations are reflective, action-oriented and seek to build capacity by:

- Providing stakeholders and beneficiaries with the opportunity to reflect on project progress and obstacles.
- Generating knowledge that informs good practice and leads to corrective action.
- Providing beneficiaries and stakeholders with the tools to transform their own environment.

Participatory evaluation should not be thought of as the final judgement on whether activities are successful or unsuccessful. They should rather be seen to encourage changes and adjustments either during the life span of the activities, or for future phases of the activities, or for future new activities.

2.9.1. Why use participatory methodologies for evaluations

All too often, conventional evaluation reports sit on shelves or desks with little or no impact on the real project stakeholders, the community. While 'conventional' evaluations may fulfil a bureaucratic requirement, their impact on local project stakeholders or on informing development practices (either at community or institutional level) has been disappointing. Participatory evaluations 'breathe life' into more conventional approaches by involving project stakeholders in all aspects of the evaluation through active participation in:

- Designing the terms of reference for the evaluation (i.e. what, how, when and where).
- Collecting and analysing data.
- Formulating recommendations and making changes in the implementation of project activities.

The use of non-formal techniques can yield richer data and information than more formal methods of inquiry such as standard questionnaires or one-on-one interviews.

During participatory evaluation, people learn more about the things that have worked well, and why they worked. They also learn more about the things that haven't worked well, and why they didn't. When the people involved go through the process of examining, it is more likely that corrective measures will be implemented in the future because they are discovered and understood by the community.

2.9.2. Characteristics and advantages of participatory evaluation

Among the distinguishing characteristics and advantages of participatory evaluation are:

- ☑ Collaborative:
 - ✓ The process of collaboration to define and achieve evaluation objectives and process, is often more important than the methods used or the outputs achieved.
 - ✓ Usually collaboration improves the quality of the output, the relevance and interpretation of the findings.
 - ✓ Collaborative decision-making among all those affected by a project includes all local stakeholders as well as program and project staff.
- ☑ Builds local capacity:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation should help build the capacity of stakeholders to reflect, analyse and take action.
 - ✓ Regardless of external organisation needs, project recipients should be involved in understanding the internal dynamics of their project, its successes, its failures and solutions for overcoming obstacles.
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation provides stakeholders and beneficiaries with basic tools to make decisions and to introduce corrective actions.
- ☑ Problem-solving orientation:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation should help develop lessons learned that can lead to corrective action by communities. When project stakeholders are involved in analysing problems, constraints or obstacles, they are able to offer more appropriate solutions.
- ☑ Generates knowledge:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation aims to generate knowledge among local stakeholders. When communities are actively involved in data collection processes, information is transformed into knowledge and usually leads to self-sustaining actions.
- ☑ Releases creativity:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation methods are creative and fun. Learning in this environment builds self-esteem and confidence essential for initiating action. People become involved in defining and carrying out the work.
 - ✓ Through the participatory process, tasks like mapping, drawing and sorting pictures release such energy and enthusiasm that the challenge often becomes bringing the process to a close, rather than struggling to keep it going.
 - ✓ This enthusiasm can often provide 'spin-offs' in the form of locally initiated development processes.
- ☑ Promotes effectiveness:
 - ✓ The results of participatory evaluation should provide stakeholders and program managers with information on the degree to which project objectives have been met and how resources have been used. Answers to these questions help program managers and local stakeholders make critical decisions about implementation processes, usually without any need of 'expert' opinions.
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation generates useful lessons and can provide participants with tangible and realistic tools for better managing their project or program with or without donor support.

- ☑ Creates ownership:
 - ✓ If the ownership of the development process from inception through to final evaluation lies with the local stakeholders, the sustainability of projects through the introduction of locally developed sustaining actions, becomes much more likely.
- ☑ Empowers:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation should help stakeholders value their own experience and knowledge and, in the process, empower them to transform their environment.
- ☑ Uses multiple methods:
 - ✓ Participatory evaluation methods are eclectic (i.e. they borrow from many disciplines) and can be adapted to meet the specific job at hand.
 - ✓ If available tools are considered inappropriate, new tools are created.
 - ✓ Validity and reliability are achieved through the use of multiple methods and by including different users and stakeholders in arriving at consensus views.
- ☑ Forward looking:
 - ✓ Because all the stakeholders share in decision-making, the process of participatory evaluation can lead to corrective action by project recipients in ways that make sense to them. Participatory evaluations not only look into the past, but also guide stakeholder developed projects into the future.
- ☑ Involving 'experts' as facilitators:
 - ✓ The role of the external 'expert' is to facilitate shared decision-making throughout the entire process of participatory evaluation, including identifying the purpose of the evaluation and selecting methods of data collection and analysis, field implementation, and disseminating and acting upon findings.
 - ✓ If 'experts' are involved, their role is to merge specialised expertise with local experience, indigenous knowledge and learning systems.

2.9.3. The advantages and disadvantages of internal and external evaluations

One advantage of a community or organisation carrying out its own evaluation is that it can more easily accept and put recommendations into practice. Internal evaluations usually are carried out by the stakeholders involved in the project or process being evaluated. Internal evaluators, however, may lack objectivity and therefore hesitate to be critical of their own work. Evaluators from outside the programme may provide additional insight, greater technical expertise, and be more objective in formulating recommendations. On the other hand, they are not as familiar with the project or process, its history, constraints, local cultures and conditions or even the personalities involved. The advantages and limitations of both types of evaluators are summarised below:

Internal Evaluator	
<i>Advantages</i>	<i>Disadvantages</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Knows the situation, its history, problems, processes, etc <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Understands and can interpret personal behaviour and attitudes. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Is known to stakeholders, so may pose no threat of anxiety or disruption. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Has greater chance of adopting and following up on recommendations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Is often less expensive. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does not require time consuming procurement negotiations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May provide more opportunity to build local evaluation capacity. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contributes to strengthening local capacity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Finds it hard to be objective. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May avoid looking for facts or forming conclusions that are negative or reflect badly on the community or individuals. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tends to accept the assumption of the stakeholders and community. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be too busy to participate fully. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be part of the local community and may be constrained by conflicts of interest. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May not be trained in evaluation methods. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May not have special technical expertise.

External Evaluator	
<i>Advantages</i>	<i>Disadvantages</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not personally involved, so finds it easier to be objective. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be free from local bias. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Can bring fresh perspective and insight. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May have broader experience and more experience in evaluation and wider current programme knowledge. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be more readily available for intensive work. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Can serve as an arbitrator or facilitator between parties. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Can bring local stakeholders into contact with additional resources. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May not know the local situation, its history, problems, personalities, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be ignorant of constraints affecting feasibility of recommendations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be perceived as an adversary arousing unnecessary anxiety. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be expensive. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires more time for contract negotiations, orientation and monitoring. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May not be able follow up on recommendations and therefore may not have control or knowledge of appropriateness or success of the solutions. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> May be unfamiliar with local political, cultural and economic environment.

A well-balanced combination of internal and external evaluators may be preferable for many purposes. Increasingly, evaluations have both kinds of participants, to take advantage of the strengths and counter the limitations of each. Careful consideration of the purposes of the evaluation helps determine whether such a balance is best in a given case.

2.9.4. When to do an evaluation?

Deciding on when an evaluation is to take place is informed by:

- If part of a government, development, or funding agency planned process.
- If a crisis is identified in the course of monitoring.
- If there appears to be a looming problem.
- If prior programmes have not included participatory approaches (then a participatory evaluation of the programmes to date is a good place to start).

Formal evaluations are usually scheduled on completion of critical phases or at the end of the project or programme period. Ongoing evaluation, especially self-evaluation by the programme and project staff, occurs during implementation. Mid-term and annual reviews also assess programme progress during implementation.

The timing of evaluations, therefore, depends mainly on the decision-making needs and the purpose of the evaluation.

The steps for implementing an evaluation are discussed in sections 5.7 and 5.8.

Chapter 3: Implementing this Guide

3.1. *Being a facilitator*

The single most critical factor for successful facilitation of participatory methodologies is *you*. Being a participatory facilitator is completely different from being a conventional field worker or trainer. This may mean that you will have to unlearn a whole range of habits and methods that you have become accustomed to. By so doing, you will not only begin to play a big role in achieving the benefits of participatory development, but you will be doing yourself a great favour. Participatory development work is extremely rewarding and is considered by many to be a lot less demanding than top-down development approaches, where you, as an external agent, do all the planning, design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

Let's look at an example:

- A government environmental officer may be accustomed to:
 - ✓ Performing health inspections within businesses, schools and households.
 - ✓ In response to his/her findings running formal group training sessions in a didactic manner (i.e. by lecturing to the group).
 - ✓ Defining solutions to the problems.

This methodology has been shown through research to be ineffective. Ask yourself this question, "If someone tells me that one of the reasons I may be getting diarrhoea is because I do not wash my hands after using the toilet, am I not going to feel patronised? Am I not going to say, but there is no clean water near my toilet?" The problem centres on the fact that this health worker has:

- ✓ Possibly undervalued my level of understanding of the issues.
- ✓ Possibly provided me with inappropriate solutions that I will be reluctant to implement because they were not my own ideas.
- ✓ Not gone the extra mile by assisting me to analyse the root cause of the diarrhoea problems and assisting me to plan the solutions.

It's that simple! As a facilitator, you must put yourself in the shoes of the individuals in the community in which you are working.

Facilitation is not the same as training. Training is the formal process of imparting skills. Your role as a facilitator is to use participatory methods to enable participants to:

- Identify issues that are important to them.
- Express their problems.
- Analyse their problems.
- Identify possible solutions.
- Select appropriate actions.
- Develop a plan to implement the solutions they identify and agree on.
- Monitor the indicators and evaluate the outcomes of the plan.

A good facilitator should *NOT*:

- Direct the group.
- Give information without first letting the group explore for itself and then being invited to provide information.
- Advise or tell the group what it should do.
- Make assumptions about what is the right response to a problem.
- Make assumptions about the level of understanding and knowledge of the group.
- Correct the group.

This does not mean that as a facilitator you are redundant. It simply means that your role has been re-defined. The facilitator's role is to:

- Encourage participation.
- Create an enabling environment in which everyone feels comfortable to contribute.
- Provide guidance for the process of participation and not the guidance towards particular solutions.
- Provide information only when requested to do so.

Remember that the only appropriate solution to a problem is one that the community defines with the use of supportive information provided by you if requested. As an outsider, you cannot understand their situation in the way that they do, no matter how concerned and dedicated you are.

The next sections describe the key facilitation skills you will require.

3.1.1. Listening versus talking

A good facilitator is therefore first and foremost someone who has learned the skill of listening. In order to achieve this you must develop a respect for the level of knowledge in the communities you are working with. Look at the group you are working with. They are people like yourself, and many of them may be older and wiser than you are. Those younger than you are probably vibrant and full of youth and enthusiasm. Respect this and begin to *listen* and *learn* from the group. This is their development process. Let them do the talking.

3.1.2. Guiding versus directing

The next skill you should have as a facilitator is the skill of guiding. With experience you will learn to guide a group through a participatory process and *not* directing them towards a particular solution that you may feel is in their interest. You need to be able to guide them through a process of discovery, of debate, of analytical thinking until they reach a solution that they own. This guiding process is done with the use of participatory tools that are open-ended. In other words, they allow the group to define their own outcomes within the participatory framework (Figure 1).

3.1.3. Creating an enabling participatory environment

To make this all happen, you have the very important job of creating an environment in which the participants feel able to contribute freely without fear of:

- Embarrassment.
- Ridicule.
- Reprisal.
- Causing conflict.
- Revealing personal information.

As facilitators, we create this enabling environment by:

- Starting with a fun exercise that 'breaks the ice' and allows everyone a chance to relax and feel at ease.
- Keeping your group to no more than 25. Even this size can be difficult to manage. If your group is larger or if you feel smaller groups will work better, then split the group up into smaller groups for each activity. The smaller groups can then report to each other later.
- Moving everyone into a comfortable seating arrangement. Try to get everyone into a circle. Also, try not to have tables in front of the participants. This is too formal and creates a barrier between people.
- Allowing the group to take control throughout the process. You must let them know you are there to assist them and that this is their process.
- Asking questions. Right from the beginning, when you are asked a question, always throw the question back at the group. The group will soon surprise themselves and realise that they already knew the answer. They will realise that in fact they do not need you and will gain self-confidence and respect for each other.
- Making sure they understand the to allow everyone's views to be heard. You can introduce this concept in the beginning, but if you see this is not happening, assist the group to get this right (see section 3.1.7 below).
- Making the process fun. We do this by using a set of tools and exercise that make the process easy and interesting. The tools and exercises use lots of pictures, drawing and role-playing.
- Some activities may require the participants to draw pictures. If the participants indicate that they do not want to, as they cannot draw, show them that you cannot either and that the idea is not to create works of art, but to communicate ideas.

- ☑ Keeping the amount of writing to a minimum. The moment we use writing as a medium of recording things during the exercises, we begin to exclude anyone who may be illiterate. This is one of the reasons why the tools and exercises used are full of pictures. If the group feels they need to keep a record in writing, then designate someone to take notes. You may find some literate people resistant to 'playing' with pictures. This will generally not be a problem if you continue with the others. The individual will soon join in. If not, then give this person a specific responsible task (e.g. taking notes of the proceedings). They will soon join in when they see the process leading towards important decisions.
- ☑ Not taking written notes during the activities. Doing this may intimidate people if they feel that you are extracting information from them. Explore ways in which the group keeps track of the proceedings and then ask later if you can copy this down (see section 3.1.8 below).

3.1.4. Giving instructions

The only time you will be required to give direct instructions to the group is when you are introducing a tool or activity. Your instructions, however, need to remain focused on how the tool or activity must be used or performed. The following should be kept in mind:

- ☑ Have all the necessary materials ready for each activity.
- ☑ Make all the materials clearly visible to all the participants. Spread them out on the ground or table for all to see.
- ☑ If the activity is the first in a session, then first do an 'ice-breaker' activity.
- ☑ While spreading out the materials for the activity, clearly explain in a step-by-step fashion what needs to be done. You can explain the purpose of the activity, the estimated amount of time and the process they need to follow. Never cut an activity short if it is generating a lot of discussion or if it is leaving unresolved issues.
- ☑ Explain the materials available and let them know where they can find more if they need it. Keep your explanation as brief as possible and let them know that if they do not understand, they can ask for clarification.

3.1.5. Relinquishing control and stepping back

You must now step back and allow the group the freedom to take control. Your job now is to listen. If the group asks you a question, consider the following:

- ☑ If they ask anything about the activity process:
 - ✓ Answer them only in terms of the procedure for the activity.
 - ✓ Do not limit their creativity. If they feel they need more materials, or more pictures, then let them use them or create them.
- ☑ If they ask for extra information that they need in order to make informed decisions (e.g. technical):
 - ✓ First, ask the group as a whole if anyone knows anything about the subject in question.
 - ✓ Only then, should you add to or provide this information, but you must do so with full objectivity. If you do not know the answers than say so and discuss where such information can be found.

Watch the group dynamics. Only intervene if:

- ☑ You see particular individuals dominating (see section 3.1.7 below).

- ☑ Serious conflict ensues. In this case, you will have to use your conflict-resolution skills. It is a good idea to do this by asking the group to identify areas of common ground and then using any activities necessary to work through the issues in contention.
- ☑ The group has become stuck and appears to be unable to proceed. In this case:
 - ✓ Ask what the problem is.
 - ✓ Ask probing questions (see section 3.1.6 below).

3.1.6. Probing and stimulating

At times, it may be necessary to intervene in a way that helps the progress forward but that still does not provide any inferred direction from you.

In cases where you see the enthusiasm for an activity has been lost or where the group has reached a temporary dead-end, then you can:

- ☑ Call a break, have tea or do a short, fun 'ice-breaking' exercise.
- ☑ Throw in an open-ended question to stimulate discussion or to steer the group away from their sticking point. This should be done without suggesting any particular solutions.

3.1.7. Dealing with difficult participants

One of the most common causes for a breakdown in the full participation of all individuals in a group is when one or more individuals create barriers for others to participate. In most cases, these individuals are unaware that they are doing this. Examples of this type of individual are:

- ☑ People who are very confident and outspoken (this intimidates others who are less confident):
 - ✓ If you see the strong individuals not giving the more timid ones a chance to participate, then have a quiet chat with the individual in question asking *them* to encourage the more timid people to participate. In other words, make them part of the solution.
- ☑ People with negative attitudes towards others or the process:
 - ✓ You can call the individual aside and chat to them quietly.
 - ✓ You can give the individual a special task.
 - ✓ You can move the individual to a group of confident people who may be able to deal with him/her.
- ☑ People who carry particular authority:
 - ✓ If you judge that this person's presence is limiting participation, then the solution is to make this person with authority part of your process. Make them your co-facilitator if you must and rapidly train them in the need for ensuring participation by all.
- ☑ People who are very timid and afraid to participate:
 - ✓ If you see some people unable to participate in a large group, then split the group up into smaller ones, possibly putting all the confident people together in one group, thereby giving the more timid ones more of a chance to participate in their own group.
- ☑ Men who do not allow women to participate:
 - ✓ One solution is to separate the men and women into different groups. However, this does not achieve a building of respect for the women's views by the men.

- ✓ You can use a tool called *gender analysis* that helps the group analyse what activities men and women undertake in daily household life. The men will soon begin to realise many responsibilities women carry and will hopefully respect the need for women to participate in planning the community's development.

3.1.8. Recording outputs and managing materials

It is important that a record is kept of the process as it proceeds through the participatory framework. Do not keep all the records in the form of written notes, to ensure that even illiterate participants are able to access the information again. As the activities are mostly picture-based, it should be possible to save the results of each activity and display them until the entire process has been completed. All pictures and other pieces of paper with drawings and notes should be clearly labelled and numbered for easy future reference.

At the end of each session or day, it is a good idea for one or more volunteers to sit down with all the materials and summarise the process and the decisions reached. These same volunteers would be expected to be able to transfer this information to anyone not present in the sessions. Information from previous sessions should be brought to each meeting to allow easy review of the results.

As a facilitator you should make your own notes at the end of each session. It is also important for you to write down as much as you can remember of the discussions that transpired during the day. Take special care to note any information that you think will require:

- In future sessions.
- For interpreting the information during the evaluation of your work.
- For presenting to others.

If you have access to a camera, it is also a good idea to take pictures of the results and, of course, the participants hard at work.

3.1.9. Analysing and presenting outputs for other audiences

In your work you may be called upon to present your findings to decision-makers in order to secure a commitment to assist or to secure funding. It is a sad reality that many decision-makers prefer to make decisions based primarily on technical information. It is very important to learn the skill of interpreting the qualitative data and be able to present it in a way that is accessible to these decision-makers.

You must remember that your job is first and foremost to work with a community to achieve consensus within that community about its development needs. You will be working with a group representing the community. Your role is to ensure that that group's ideas, reasons, processes and explanations are captured accurately. The challenge is to ensure that this information and its outcomes are presented and interpreted as representing the views, needs and decisions of the community as a whole.

How, then, can you be sure that the information and outcomes generated through these processes do in fact reflect the majority view? In order to be confident in making statements like, "The majority in this community has decided that the most appropriate means of managing their water system is through decentralised voluntary committees", you will have to concern yourself with understanding something called bias.

We each have our own particular views and preferences that can affect how we approach or interpret processes or outcomes. If we look at the participatory process, we see that the outcomes of the process may be influenced by:

- The bias of the participants.
- The bias of yourself and other facilitators.
- The bias of the particular set of activities and tools used.

We must recognise that these biases exist and we must address them openly. We do this by:

- Ensuring we have a representative stakeholder group or groups. Rather do too many participatory processes with different groups to ensure that you achieve this.
- If possible use more than one facilitator per community, thereby reducing the bias of any one facilitator.
- Try to use a different mix of activities and tools in the different groups to reduce any bias that may be introduced by the tools and activities.

3.2. Preparing yourself

To be a successful participatory facilitator you must always be well prepared. Not only must you prepare yourself for future planned sessions or activities, but you should also be ready to facilitate spontaneous participatory. Valuable opportunities often arise without time for pre-planning and preparation. For example, you may be on your way to a community meeting and come across a group of people queuing at the clinic. This is a perfect impromptu group with which to do some participatory activities. Not only will you find out more about why they are there and why they are queuing, but you may also be able to assist the group to analyse their problem and help them find a solution for which they are willing to take responsibility.

Preparing yourself is not only about ensuring you have all the right materials at hand (see section 3.3 below), but also ensuring that you have:

- Defined for yourself the purpose of approaching the particular community or simply the purpose of your next session. You will need to think about the scenarios (which groups, which activities and tools, and in which context) that will influence the strategies you will use to assist the community.
- Reminded yourself of the purpose, application and method of use of all your available tools and activities. This is especially necessary if you do not do this work on a day-to-day basis. In this case, it may be a good idea to practice the tools and activities on friends or colleagues first.
- Made sure that your toolkit is complete and that the tools and pictures it contains are appropriate for your target community. For example, you do not want to use tools that contain pictures of typical Mexican scenes in a community in Africa. You also do not want to use pictures that might offend your target community. In this case, you will have to work with a local artist who will be able to make new drawings for you as the need arises, or you may be able to do this yourself.

3.3. Your toolkit

A good participatory facilitator will *always* have his or her own participatory toolkit close at hand. A large well-organised briefcase or sturdy box is what you will need. It *must* be well organised or you will be embarrassed while you are rummage around in your box looking for your next set of materials. A good toolkit should include at least:

- A full set of tools. If you can afford to have two sets, all the better as often you will be asked if you can leave a set in the community.
- Sticky tape.
- Prestik or bluetack (re-usable sticky putty substance for sticking pictures to walls).
- Large marker pens.
- Scissors.
- Stapler and staples.
- Coloured paper.
- Plain paper.
- Coloured thin cardboard.
- Coloured stickers.
- Large sheets of paper or newsprint.
- Buttons, small stones or beans of different sorts.
- Drawing pins.
- Container (basket, hat or box)
- Ready-made *pocket chart*
- Anything else that you learn through experience is useful.

3.3.1. Making or adapting your tools

The best tools are those that reflect the local culture and conditions. The groups with which you work will be able to feel the relevance of the tools for themselves a lot more easily. However, this does mean that condition specific toolkits have to be developed. This can be time consuming, but need not be expensive.

All the existing tools as described in Chapter 5, have been used in different forms in other countries. The pictures used in one country may not reflect conditions and circumstances in the area you will be working in. However, you can easily produce new sets of tools for your own circumstances (see section 3.3). It is advisable to have a single process of adapting the tools in a country or area and to then distribute these tools to all facilitators.

In addition, there is nothing stopping you from developing totally new tools altogether, but this you will only feel confident to do as you gain experience in participatory methodologies. It is good practice to then test your tool, write up your experiences with it and to then disseminate the tool and the lessons to other facilitators.

Unless you are a fairly 'good' artist yourself, it would be advisable to find a local artist willing to help you adapt the tools. This need not be a professional and expensive artist. A local amateur with time to spare will do perfectly.

The artist must understand the task clearly, so it will be important for you to explain the meaning and purpose of participatory methodologies clearly. The artist must understand that the drawings must not deliver a particular message

but are used to help the community express their own views, experiences, feelings and beliefs. Probably the best way to get the message across is to involve the artist in a training workshop for facilitators. You must provide the artist with a clear set of specifications for the drawing, including the size and methods of identifying the tools from one another. Explain to the artist the need to depict the local circumstances.

At this point, it would be a good idea to visit a typical community with the artist and try to find local circumstances that match the example tools. Photographs can be taken if required. Ask the artist to produce preliminary sketches for your approval. Once a final set of pictures has been produced, test these in a community and ask the participants for comment after the exercises. This way you will ensure you end up with the most appropriate set of tools. For further information, see section 3.3.2.

3.3.2. Guidelines for artists

The following is a list of general instructions for artists:

- The drawing must represent the community and circumstances in which they will be used. Therefore the people, houses, terrain, roads, behaviours, water and sanitation facilities, etc must all be similar to those in the community.
- Visit a typical community. Make notes and rough sketches while there. Observe the people, clothes, activities, what they do for entertainment, how they go about their daily tasks in and outside the house, etc.
- Attend a participatory training workshop and / or consult experienced participatory facilitators.
- Examine the example set of tools carefully.
- The drawing should show a good cross-section of the community, men, women, children, animals and their activities.
- Keep your drawings simple and clear. It is not necessary to use colours. Simple black line drawing will do. Ensure that each line drawn is bold, solid and clear. This is important for the reproduction of the pictures. Do not add too much detail or else the picture will become too cluttered.
- The drawing must not give a specific message. For example, a drawing of a person dressed in everyday clothes walking down a path towards a building is a fairly ambiguous picture. It can be interpreted in many ways (a man walking to work, a patient visiting the clinic, a teenager going to school, etc). This ambiguity is intended so that the participants can interpret the picture from their own experience. Usually you should not imply a message by, for example, drawing the man with a medical bag that narrows down the interpretation of the picture significantly. There are some tools, however, that do require more targeted messages (e.g. a tools that analyses health circumstances) and in this case it would be fine to draw in the medical bag. Ask the facilitators the purpose of each of the tools.
- Ask the facilitators to pilot your drawings and get comments from them on any adjustments required.

The following is a list of specific instructions for artists:

- Produce your first drawings in black and white line drawings and keep them as your master set.
- Do each drawing on a separate sheet of paper. Each drawing should at least be on an A4 size (21cm x 29.5cm).

- ☑ Make the drawing details large enough to be seen from a distance (about 3 to 5 metres).
- ☑ Use a thick, good quality paper for the master set.
- ☑ If you have been instructed to make colour drawings, photocopy your master set and fill in the colours on the copies. This will be your master colour copy. Use clear solid colours (cartoon style).
- ☑ If you have been instructed to produce different sizes of each tool (for use with larger or smaller groups), it is easiest to then reduce or enlarge your master set on a photocopier.
- ☑ The final master sets should be laminated for safekeeping.

Figure 2 below clearly defines the steps an artist should follow for the production of the each tool.




Figure 2: Step-by-step guide for artists

3.4. Tools and activities to help train facilitators

Participatory methods and tools are not only for use in communities. We can also use the tools to train ourselves and our co-facilitators in the key skills and knowledge required to be good participatory facilitators.

In this section, we present a selection of these tools with brief notes on how to use them. Some of these tools you will be able to use with community groups who will need to plan and understand how to promote good community participation.

3.4.1. Cup exercise

PURPOSE: This exercise helps trainee facilitators understand the difference between directive and participatory approaches.

TIME REQUIRED: 15 to 20 minutes

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten

MATERIALS NEEDED: A set of seven cards for each group. Each card shows a cup with one of the following statements beneath it:

- ✓ Fill the cup to the brim with hot coffee.
- ✓ Fill the cup with hot coffee.
- ✓ Fill the cup with coffee.
- ✓ Fill the cup with some liquid.
- ✓ Put some liquid in the cup.
- ✓ Put something in the cup.
- ✓ Do what you wish with the cup.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Give each group a set of cards. Ensure each set is well shuffled. Ask the groups to arrange the cards from the most directive on the left to the most open ended on the right. Engage the participants in a discussion about the choices they made and why they made them. Ask the participants to discuss the relevant merits of directive and open-ended approaches. Ask them which method is most used by extension workers and field agents in local development projects. Ask the groups which methods they feel would lead to the best results.

3.4.2. Johari's window

PURPOSE: Creates awareness amongst trainee facilitators about the degrees of interpersonal communication and the extent to which mutual understanding and trust develops between two people.

TIME REQUIRED: 30 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Six pictures, each depicting two women. Each card shows the same two women and you must be able to tell them apart. The pictures show the women in the following ways:

- ✓ Two women facing and looking at each other (1).
- ✓ Woman left has a finger raised. Woman on right has eyes averted down (2).
- ✓ Woman on right has eyes averted down and has an empty thought bubble above her head (3).
- ✓ The women standing back-to-back or looking away from each other (4).
- ✓ Woman on right holding a picture of a village situation while the other looks on (5).
- ✓ Woman on left appears to be listening carefully and woman on right has an empty speech bubble above her head (6).

Four labels:

- ✓ Open (open communication and understanding).
- ✓ Blind (one person feels the other is ignorant)
- ✓ Hidden (one person's feelings and thoughts are hidden from the other)
- ✓ Unknown (neither person knows anything about each other).

HOW TO FACILITATE: Place the first four pictures on the ground as follows:

1	2
3	4

Place the four labels in random order to one side and explain them briefly without linking them to the pictures. Ask a volunteer to place the labels under the appropriate picture but he/she must get consensus from the group by getting them to discuss each different viewpoint.

Invite discussion about the relevance of this exercise to the communications that occur between extension worker and communities.

Now ask the group to take the two remaining pictures and to discuss how they could help to 'open' the 'hidden' window and the 'blind window'.

3.4.3. Factors that help or hinder good participation

PURPOSE: Helps trainee facilitators to:

- Identify the factors that promote or undermine community participation.
- Reconcile different viewpoints and to reach consensus.
- Explore how facilitator's actions can help or hinder participation.

This exercise can also be used with communities.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Pieces of paper.

Newsprint.

A few marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Ask each person to:

Draw a picture or pictures of ways to promote community participation.

Draw a picture or pictures that depict attitudes or actions that undermine participation.

Share his / her pictures with their group members.

Ask the groups to then create a mural of depicting the group's consensus viewpoint of good participation and the factors that undermine participation. Ask the groups to present with their group's ideas for promoting better participation within communities referring to their murals.

3.4.4. SARAR resistance to change continuum

PURPOSE: To help sensitise trainee facilitators to the fact that both extension officers and community members may have different and understandable reasons for not wanting to adopt change. To demonstrate an easy way to categorise the common kinds of resistance to change. To help trainee facilitators to identify what approaches would be most appropriate to use when working with people who are either receptive or resistant to change.

TIME REQUIRED: Up to 90 minutes

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A large diagram of the change continuum, listing the seven stages of resistance to openness or change. The seven stages are:

- ✓ There's no problem.
- ✓ There may be a problem but it is not my responsibility.
- ✓ Yes there is a problem but I have my doubts.
- ✓ There is a problem but I am afraid of changing for fear of loss.
- ✓ I see the problem and am interested in learning more about it.
- ✓ I am ready to try some action.
- ✓ I am willing to demonstrate the solution to others and to advocate for change.

A smaller blank poster.

Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Start by asking the group to recall occasions where they might have observed resistance to outsider's messages because of local beliefs, customs or attitudes (e.g. 'women should also be allowed to hold positions of authority'). Select one of these instances and write it up on the blank poster. Ask the participants to role-play the typical responses of the following people:

An average community member.

A local leader.

A community member who has more exposure to outside ideas (e.g. a migrant worker).

Get the participants to write these responses as thought balloons and then to place these at the appropriate places on the change continuum diagram.

Now engage the participants in a discussion around the following issues:

At what stage would people be most receptive to didactic teaching and participatory methods?

What strategies are the most useful in the more resistant stages?

What value would participatory methodologies have for people at the different stages?

3.4.5. Force-field analysis

PURPOSE: To help trainee facilitators to understand the theory behind planning techniques used for project planning, and how, in adapted form, these are used at community level.

TIME REQUIRED: 90 minutes

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Copies of a diagram of a basic *force-field* framework on which the participants can draw present and future situations.




Figure 3: Force-field chart

HOW TO FACILITATE: If you have a large group, divide it into smaller ones (5 to 10 each). Draw a *force-field* chart on a large piece of paper, keeping the 'now' and 'future' boxes empty. Explain the framework as follows (refer to Figure 3):

- The left box indicates the present situation.
- The right box indicates the same situation that has been improved in the future.
- The central arrow indicates the direction of movement from the present situation to the future situation.
- The arrows pointing down indicate those issues seen as constraints or hindrances to achieving the goals.
- The arrows pointing up indicate those resources that are seen to be able to aid the achievement of the goals.

The groups should then be given a force field diagram with the left box containing a picture of a community situation that requires improvement (e.g. a polluted river). The right box should be blank. Instruct the groups to define what is wrong with the situation and to define and draw what the future situation (goal) should look like. Next, they should identify the resources and constraints that apply to this development situation. Each group should present their diagrams in plenary allowing discussion. Next, ask each group to identify one constraint and, in their groups, to define which resources can be used to overcome the constraint and how. Continue this activity until each constraint has been dealt with. In modified form, this activity can be used at community level for planning purposes. This is discussed later in Chapter 5.

Chapter 4: Getting Started

This section describes the process of beginning a participatory process. In reality, this could occur at any stage within a development process, although ideally we would want it to start right from the beginning. The important thing to recognise, however, is that we cannot simply rush in to a community and start doing 'our thing'. Not only is this rude, it is also totally against the principals of participatory methodologies. As with everything else in development, the community that we wish to assist must want that assistance and they must be given a chance to define how that assistance will be provided.

4.1. *Defining your purpose*

Before entering a community, you need to define for yourself the purpose of your work and the methods you intend to employ. This should be your basic outline plan that defines the process you intend following in introducing yourself to the community and its leaders as well as how you will be describing your purpose to them.

You need to define with what mandate you are entering the community and whether or not you are arriving with an agenda. It is an unfortunate reality that, in few cases, do we as development workers arrive in a community without an external agenda. We are usually attached to some organisation or government department that has special interests. For example, if I work for the Department of Health, then my external development agenda will be health related. I would not be able to entertain any demands for development processes for any other sectors (e.g. transport). It will be important for you to make your external agenda known from the start.

This 'defining your purpose' process is important, as it will:

- Force you to think carefully about applying the participatory methodologies to the process.
- Provide you with a basis to evaluate your methods. If you see things going wrong, you can refer back to your plan and try to identify if there is something in it that you could improve to avoid the same problem again in the future.
- Give you the confidence to introduce yourself while and being able to clearly define your purpose and external agenda within the participatory framework.

4.2. *Open ended versus output-orientation*

As pointed out in section 4.1 above, very few development scenarios allow a totally open-ended approach. An open-ended approach essentially means that you can enter a community with no other agenda than to apply participatory methodologies to assist the community to define and analyse its own problems, to identify the solutions and to plan and implement the interventions.

An output-orientated approach, on the other hand, means that you are arriving in a community with some pre-conceived ideas about what needs to be done. This may be part of a development strategy developed by a local government, or it may have been defined by your particular sector-biased department or agency. While this is not an ideal situation, it need not be seen as a major problem, as long as these preconceived notions are not translated into action by yourselves, but rather by the community with your assistance. In other words, you will approach the community and clearly define your mandate and purpose. Thereafter, you will use participatory methodologies to assist the community to follow the participatory development process within the framework that you have provided.

However, it must be noted that every sector-biased organisation should endeavour to ensure some level of integrated development if it is serious about sustainability. Therefore, it would be expected of you as a facilitator to fully entertain any issues that the community may raise and then to assist them to access other resources or organisations to assist them to deal with these issues. It is also your responsibility to proactively engage other government departments or agencies and to either design participatory development processes together, or to ensure that they are aware of the issues raised by communities in your work.

4.3. When do you start?

In the same way as we may not have the luxury of entering a community without a sector biased agenda, we also may not have the luxury of beginning a participatory process from the beginning of a project cycle. Reasons for this may include:

- Previously short sighted government planning.
- Evaluations of current projects resulting in revised strategies.
- Previously technically biased development programmes that have failed.
- Changes in government policies.

The following sections provide some basic tips for starting participatory methodologies at the various stages in a project cycle.

4.3.1. Starting at problem identification stage

This is a development workers dream. You are able to enter a community and start by assisting them to identify their own problems, analyse these problems, define their own solutions and plan their own interventions. You may still be constrained by having a sector biased external agenda (as discussed in section 4.1 and further defined in section 4.2), but as long as you have made this clear up-front, the community should be able to respect this and adjust their priorities appropriately.

Being able to start with participatory methodologies from this stage in a project cycle requires no special measures other than following the step-by-step guide as described in Chapter 5 and adhering closely to the participatory principles defined throughout this guideline.

4.3.2. Starting at implementation stage

This is an awkward stage to begin implementation of participatory methodologies. Before beginning, you need to understand clearly the reasons for the change in the methods as this will help define how you approach the community. Is it because government policy has changed, is it because something has gone wrong with the implementation, has the community stopped implementation in protest, etc. You will have to adapt your introduction strategy dependent on the reasons.

You will also have to understand how flexible the current implementation strategy is and what power you and the community will have to influence it. Hopefully the fact that you have been asked to implement participatory methodologies is due to a full recognition that it is necessary and not just to pay 'lip-service' to the concept. You need to know if you will be able to influence the implementing agency into changing the process in accordance with the community's ideas and plans.

As a facilitator it will be vital to gain the community's trust as soon as possible, regardless of the reason. It is always best to approach the community with an open-mind and with the attitude of, "We fully understand that you may not be happy with how the development process has gone so far, but we are here to help you change that".

Your first steps would be to allow the community groups to evaluate the project as far as it has gone using some of the evaluation tools. This will give the groups a real sense that you are interested in their opinions.

4.3.3. Starting after implementation

As with the situation described in section 4.3.2 above, you have to understand clearly the reason why you have been asked to implement participatory methodologies at this stage as well as the amount of freedom you and the community will have to influence the process from here on.

You will again have to gain their trust as soon as possible. You will have to approach them with the attitude of, "We realise we did not properly involve you as a community in the implementation of this project, but we are here now to ask your assistance in making it work from now on".

Your first steps would be to allow the community groups to evaluate the project as far as it has gone, using some of the evaluation tools. This will give the groups a real sense that you are interested in their opinions.

4.4. Getting permission

It would obviously be disrespectful for you, as an outsider, to walk into a community and begin working, without seeking permission to do so. The protocol for doing this will vary from country to country and from community to community. Your first step, then, is to find out through polite enquiries what the correct protocol is.

If you work for a government agency, then you should already have permission and a mandate from the local government, but you will still need to gain permission from the local leadership. If you work for a non-governmental organisation, then it is important to seek permission from the relevant government structures first.

Having permission granted by the local leadership will allow you a level of support for your work that will ensure your acceptance by the community. In fact, in terms of the fundamentals of participatory methodologies, the leadership or its representatives should essentially become part of your team that will assist the community through the participatory development process. This therefore means that you will need full and real support from the leadership, which must include support for the participatory methodologies that you intend to use. Section 4.5 below, contains some guidance on how to achieve this.

4.5. Marketing your presence and the methodology

One of the most effective ways to market your presence and the participatory development process is to ensure that from the beginning the legitimate leadership of the community owns the process. You must allow the leadership to take control of the process and to define, to an extent, the manner in which you will work. You must always appear to take the back seat while always remaining supportive when required. The community and its leadership must come to see you as a useful resource as opposed to an expert sent to tell them what to do.

Once you have gained this respect then you will be able to 'market' the work that you intend to do. You will need to make it perfectly clear what resources are backing you up and you must never make promises that you have no control over keeping. Make it clear that you are there to assist them to sort out their problems with the resources available to them and the few that you may have control over.

As noted in section 3.1.3, you may have some problems marketing an approach that uses many picture-based activities. Some people in positions of power may see this as you coming to 'play' with the community and not to do serious work. Please refer to this section on how to handle this situation. Make the leadership part of finding a solution of to ensure full participation. By working them through this problem, they will soon see the merits of the participatory methodologies being employed.

Another very good marketing tool is to take community leaders and other stakeholders to visit other successful development programmes that have been run using participatory methodologies. Experiencing and seeing for oneself successful situations is the best way to unlock what may have otherwise been stubborn, untrusting or unconfident set of minds.

4.6. Identifying relevant stakeholders

The leadership in the village and the relevant government departments are not the only important group with which you need to be working. Every community has groups of people that have certain vested interests in development processes. Likewise, every individual will have his or her issues. However, particular individuals may have larger vested interests by virtue of their role in the community. These groups or individuals we call stakeholders.

In a facilitator of a participatory process it is vital that you attempt to ensure that your processes include as many of these stakeholders as possible. This will not only help to ensure that the outcomes of the process are as representative as possible, but it will also ensure that all groups and individual buy into the process and are supportive of it throughout. If you do not get this representation right, then conflict will most probably arise later.

But how to you successfully identify all the relevant stakeholders? As a first step the local leadership will be able to identify most of the stakeholders, but you should not leave it there. You should ensure that you consult as wide a range of people as possible to get the general view. If you end up with too many people, then you will have to ask the community to decide which group can represent which set interests, thereby ensuring that they agree on a smaller number.

You may now have ended up with a list of stakeholders that looks something like this:

- Village leaders.
- Local government.
- Local development committees.
- Women's groups.
- Local farmers.
- Business people.
- Youth groups.
- Pensioners.
- Church groups.

Out of these stakeholders, it would be advantageous for the community to use one of these groups or to form another representative group as the main participant group in the participatory development process. Each of the stakeholder groups should be represented. This group would be tasked with managing the process and making the decisions, but not without the participation of the other groups, prior to final decisions being made. In other words, you would work with this group to consult with all the other stakeholders in a participatory way, before working through the detailed issues within the leading group.

In small villages the above decentralised participation process may not be required due to all the main stakeholders being adequately represented in the lead group. But, in large diverse communities it is a vital process to ensure consensus and full participation.

Chapter 5: Steps to Take and Tools to Use

This chapter takes you, the facilitator, through the step-by-step process of assisting a community group to identify its problems, find and implement appropriate solutions and to monitor their own performance. At each step through the seven-step process, you will be introduced to the purpose of the step, the tools and materials that you can use as well as the estimated time required. Detailed facilitation tips are given for each tool and activity.

The seven-step participatory methodology framework can be used as a reference while reading this chapter (Appendix A: 'The Seven Steps Chart').

5.1. First things first

Before you rush a group into identifying its problems it is a good idea to let everyone get to know each other and to express their hopes and fears about the process to come. In addition, you can give the group a chance to define their own set of ground rules. The introductory or 'setting the scene' process is important because it sets the tone for the work to follow and it 'breaks the ice', freeing the participants to participate actively. It is therefore important that everyone feels that their contribution is supported and encouraged and that the introductory exercises are fun to do.

5.1.1. Introductory tools and activities

Some examples of introductory activities are as follows:

- Participants introduce themselves one after the other by selecting an adjective that starts with the first letter of their name and that they feel describes something about them.
- Participants walk around to find an object from the environment that represents what they are bringing to the workshop. They are then asked to present the item while introducing themselves and to tell the group:
 - ✓ What they are bringing to the workshop.
 - ✓ What they want out of the workshop.
 - ✓ What they are missing by being at the workshop.
- Participants are asked to stand up and to move into groups according to the following example instructions. After each grouping, ask the participants to introduce themselves to the person on their right and the person on their left:
 - ✓ Move all the men on the left and the women on the right.
 - ✓ Ask the participants to group themselves according to the areas they come from.

- ✓ Ask the participants to group themselves according to the colour of their shirts or shoes.

Finally, ask the group to define their own 'ground rules'. 'Ground rules' are a set of operating principles that the group decides will be enabling of their active participation. For example:

- Respect the view of others.
- No ridiculing or interrupting.
- Talk one at a time.
- Everyone gets a chance to participate.
- No mobile phones.
- No smoking in the room.
- etc.

The following will need to be documented during the exercise:

- What the group will be bringing to the workshop .
- What the group would want out of the workshop.
- The ground rules that the group identifies for the workshop.

5.1.2. Hopes and fears tools and activities

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to share participants 'hopes and fears' about the workshop in a creative way. It will help to clarify what participants hope to learn and achieve at the workshop. It will also help participants to leave behind family and outside pressures to be in the workshop.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Individually.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Modelling clay, OR
 Paper
 Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Participants are asked to use modelling clay to fashion objects or symbols that represents or depict their hopes and fears. Alternatively they can use marker pens and paper to draw pictures. Once completed, ask the participants to share their hopes and fears in a plenary.

The exercise helps participants to unload their emotional baggage and to realise that other people share their hopes and fears. It creates common ground that sets the mood for the entire workshop process.

5.2. Problem identification (STEP 1)

During the problem identification step, we use a set of open-ended tools to allow the group to identify their problems.

5.2.1. Unserialised posters

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to enable group members to identify important issues and problems facing their community and to help build a feeling of team spirit and mutual understanding which helps the group to generate self-esteem and creativity.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes

- GROUP SIZE:** Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.
- MATERIALS NEEDED:** A set of at least eight pictures showing various human situations and interactions. The pictures should be open to varying interpretations. The pictures should focus on human interactions as opposed to human activities.
- Sticky tape.
- HOW TO FACILITATE:** Working in smaller groups; participants are given a set of pictures depicting various ambiguous village situations. Ask them to review the pictures, come to a common understanding of what is depicted and relate them to a typical community situation. Following this they are then asked to select four posters and to create a story with a beginning, middle and an end about the community situation. The groups will then share their stories in the plenary session. They should be encouraged to discuss various issues that emerge from their stories.

This activity will enable the group to identify their most 'burning' problems as well as allow you as the facilitator to begin to understand the issues important to the community as well as to how the community members go about reaching consensus on these issues. It also gives the facilitator insights regarding the group's approach to, and resourcefulness in, addressing problems.

5.2.2. Flexi-flans

- PURPOSE:** The purpose of the exercise is to illustrate the range of creative responses that can be expected from the use of open-ended materials such as *flexi-flans*, and to reinforce the difference between learner-centred and didactic training methods. It is used to begin investigating community life in a creative, open-ended and unthreatening way.
- TIME REQUIRED:** 45 minutes.
- GROUP SIZE:** Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.
- MATERIALS NEEDED:** A mix between 30-45 flexible and static cut out figures depicting local people, their houses, trees, animals, possessions, tools, etc. The figures should be a mix of both full face and profiles.
- Paper.
- Marker pens.
- Scissors.
- HOW TO FACILITATE:** Spread out the *flexi-flans* for all to see and demonstrate how they can be used. Ask the participants to use the *flexi-flans* to depict village life. Impress on the groups that they can be as creative as they like. Provide extra paper, pens and scissors. Have the smaller groups share their experience with the larger group. The *flexi-flans* can also be used to illustrate more focused issues such as health problems and health care options.

Flexi-flans are an extremely powerful tool that allows people to develop rich, visual images of their community life. They are useful for highlighting what

people at local level value, and can be extremely useful to help enrich your baseline understanding of the community.

An example of a more focused use of the *flexi-flans*, is the Nurse Tanaka tool. It can be used directly after the more open ended *flexi-flan* exercise, especially if the issues being raised are health related. Simply ask the group to add to their community representation all the people and anything else that may be related to health issues. Each person in the group is then asked to pick up one *flexi-flan* character and to explain what ailment that person has. They must then put their chosen character next to the health-related *flexi-flan* where the person is most likely to go for health care (e.g. clinic, nurse, traditional healer, religious healer, etc.). This issue can then be probed further, by asking for reasons that people may choose one health caregiver over another. Further to this, you can probe for issues relating to the reasons why people are falling ill, but this would fall into the 'Step 2' of the participatory framework.

5.2.3. Community mapping

PURPOSE: The purpose of this tool is to map the community and to develop a common vision and understanding of the community. It is useful for developing a quick visual map of the community's physical environment and how it relates to everyday life.

TIME REQUIRED: 30 to 120 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Newsprint. If paper is not available, the map can be drawn on the ground.
 Marker pens.
 Stickers.
 Stones, sticks, etc.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Ask the group to make a map of their community including important physical features and boundaries, roads, houses, water sources, sanitation facilities, waste disposal sites, schools, churches and businesses. Do not interfere with the process. If you have used more than one group, ask the groups to take each other on a tour of their maps. Based on the map/s, stimulate a discussion about particular issues, such as water sources, sanitation, the concerns of women, farming, health issues, etc. During the discussion, it may appear if particular issues are of great concern. These can be explored further by, perhaps using the *flexi-flans* on top of the map.

5.2.4. Participatory surveys

PURPOSE: The purpose of this exercise is to investigate any other aspects of a community. This could include, amongst other things, age, gender, members of households, access to water and sanitation services, education, health or employment. This tool introduces participants to a more quantitative means of analysing their circumstances.

TIME REQUIRED: Anything up to 60 minutes

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

- MATERIALS NEEDED:**
- Different coloured beans, stones or other small objects.
 - Bowls, cups, tin cans or other containers to collect the beans.
 - Pictures representing the categories of information to be investigated.

HOW TO FACILITATE: The need for this exercise should arise out of another activity and can be used to analyse a problem, or it can be used to define a simple baseline of information. Place the pictures of the information categories to be investigated in a visible place. Show participants the different coloured beans or other objects that are to be used as markers and indicate what each bean represents, for example:

- White = women
- Black = men
- Brown = children

The markers can also represent more than one of an item (e.g. each bean can represent 100 people or items). Ask each participant pick the number of beans that represent her/his household situation (e.g. number of men, women and children). Ask one or two of the participants to collect and consolidate the different markers from each of the participants and count the beans. Basic statistics can then be worked out together with the group.

5.3. Problem analysis (STEP 2)

During this step, the groups are afforded the opportunity to begin analysing the reasons why their problems have occurred in order to be better able to find solutions.

5.3.1. Community mapping and flexi-flans

These two tools are essentially 'problem identification' tools, but if facilitated with a lot of probing and discussions, they can lead to a preliminary process of problem analysis.

5.3.2. Pocket chart

PURPOSE: This tool allows participants to assess, analyse and evaluate a given situation. This is a very similar tool to *Participatory surveys* discussed under 'Step 1', and will also give quantitative data. The two tools can be used interchangeably, but the pocket-charts added advantage is that it can easily be used for secret voting, if required.

TIME REQUIRED: Up to 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: *Pocket chart* consisting of rows and columns that can number up to 7 horizontally and 10 vertically. If a chart is not available, containers or bags can also be used.

Markers (beans or stones) for voting should also be available

Pictures labels depicting the issues being analysed.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Place pictures along the top and left-hand side of the *pocket chart*. Clarify the meaning of each picture along both horizontal and vertical axis. Ask each person to vote using the markers provided. If the issue being addressed tends to become sensitive or personal, the *pocket chart* can be placed in such a way as to provide relative privacy and participants can vote one by one. After voting is complete, invite volunteers from among the participants to count and tabulate the results. Participants should consider and discuss the meaning of the results of the voting. An example of the use of a *pocket chart* is shown in Figure 4 (except you would use pictures in place of the words). In this example, a group would be asked to vote about what water sources are used for the identified purposes.

	Cooking	Drinking	Washing	Farming
Spring	☺	☺	☺	☺
River	☺	☺	☺	☺
Tap	☺	☺	☺	☺

Figure 4: Pocket chart

5.3.3. Gender analysis

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to collect information, raise awareness, and understand how access to and control of household and community resources and household tasks vary according to gender.

TIME REQUIRED: 60 minutes per use.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Three large pictures, one of a man, one of a woman and one of a couple.
 For analysing *access to resources*: at least 15 cards depicting different resources and possessions.
 For analysing *tasks*: at least 15 cards depicting common daily activities, all of them being performed by women.
 Different coloured bean markers.
 Blank cards
 Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Place the three large pictures on the ground, in a row. Underneath these pictures, scatter the smaller cards at random. Include some blank cards. Ask the participants to sort the cards by categorising them under three large pictures in columns, depending on who owns or controls the resource or who performs the task. Facilitate discussions amongst the participants about why they made the choices they did. Be particularly sensitive to include women in the discussion or organise the exercise into two separate activities, one for men and one for women, and then let them share the results of the activities. This activity can be taken further to analyse the value of the resources or the amount of time spent undertaking the daily activities. Assigning values to the bean markers, ask the participants to place the relevant number of markers on each card.

Facilitate discussions amongst the participants about why they made the choices they did. Probe the issues further by attempting to get the discussions to deal with the issues such as the appropriateness of men making decisions around daily activities that women actually perform, or around the fairness of the access to resources.

It may be easier to facilitate this as two separate exercises, first dealing with tasks and then with resources.

5.3.4. Three-pile sorting

PURPOSE: The purpose of this tool is to develop problem-solving and analytical skills and the ability to reflect on causes and effects. It also helps to assess the extent to which communities are aware of the positive and negative implications of a variety of situations. It is also used as an awareness raising exercise.

TIME REQUIRED: Up to 45 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: 20-30 pictures depicting common activities that can be interpreted as 'good', 'bad' or 'in-between'.
 Labels showing pictures that indicate 'good', 'bad' or 'in-between'. This tool is usually only introduced once a particular problem area is identified. For example, if health problems related to sanitation have been identified, then the pictures used would relate to 'good', 'bad' or 'in-between' health practices.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Divide the participants into smaller groups. Ask the groups to look at the pictures and agree on what they see. Ask them to sort the pictures into three piles, namely 'good', 'bad' and 'in-between' practices. The participants should then discuss their findings. The process could be taken further by asking people which practices are common in the village, how the "good" practices could be strengthened and how the "bad" practices could be overcome or changed.

5.3.5. What is poverty / who is poor?

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to determine what poverty means in a particular community, and to enable the community to decide which of its members should be targeted to receive the most assistance.

TIME REQUIRED: 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Similar materials as required for the *gender analysis* tool (access to resources).

At least fifteen cards depicting various possessions.

Three drawings, one man, one woman and one couple.

Three picture labels depicting rich, poor and average.

Blank cards.

Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Begin by placing the three labels 'rich', 'average' and 'poor' on the ground side by side. Then, place and spread out the fifteen cards randomly below the labels so that all participants can see them. Ask the participants to discuss and categorise the cards by placing them in vertical columns, depending on whether the possessions are likely to be owned by rich, average or poor people in the community. Ask them to draw or write on a blank of paper any possessions not depicted on the cards. After consensus has been reached, ask them to identify three cards that most characterise each group. Remove the labels shuffle the cards. Now use the drawings of a man and a woman. Ask them to categorise the cards again on the basis of whether there are differences in wealth and well being between males and females headed households. Finally ask the participants to categorise the actual families in the community in terms of whether they are rich, average or poor. Names of families can be written on slips of paper. Allow for ample discussion until consensus is reached.

5.3.6. Critical incident analysis

PURPOSE: The purpose is to help community members further develop their analytical abilities in order to address local problems.

TIME REQUIRED: 30 minutes.

- GROUP SIZE:** Any group up to 25.
- MATERIALS NEEDED:** A sets of four to eight drawings of people in problem situations.
- HOW TO FACILITATE:** Pass the drawings around the group. Ask the group to analyse the pictures in terms of problems they may depict. Have the group discuss the factors that may have contributed to the problems and how they could be resolved. Discuss the pros and cons of different proposed solutions

5.3.7. Family dynamics

- PURPOSE:** The purpose of the exercise is to assess typical family dynamics and to analyse and investigate the impact of different livelihood threats on the family dynamics and relationships.
- TIME REQUIRED:** 45 minutes.
- GROUP SIZE:** Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.
- MATERIALS NEEDED:** Numerous silhouetted figures depicting different family members from very young to very old.
 Small coloured stickers.
- HOW TO FACILITATE:** Follow this step-by-step guide:

Step 1: Cut out all the silhouettes and stick or draw a dot on the back of about a third of the individual silhouettes.
Prepare Materials.

Step 2: Break your group into small working groups of no more than 5 to 10 people per group. Try, as much as possible, to ensure a gender spread in the groups. You can repeat the exercise using single gender groups to demonstrate particular problem areas.
Divide the group.

Step 3: Introduce the purpose of the exercise. Place the cut out silhouette figures on the floor so that everyone can see them.
Starting the Exercise.

Step 4: Ask each group to select silhouettes that represent a family that is common to the area where they live.
Creating Families.

Step 5: Working in their small groups, participants are first asked to give life to their 'family'. What would the people in the household be doing? What are the relations between family members? How does the family survive? What are the goals and dreams of the family as a whole, as well as the individuals in the household? They should be encouraged to personalise their families by giving them names. Give the groups about 10 minutes to complete this exercise.

Step 6: Ask each group to stand up and report back on what they discussed. Have them tell the plenary how their family gets along, what they do to survive, and what their dreams and problems are. Each group should take no longer than 3 minutes to tell the plenary about their family.

Step 7: Ask the groups to turn over the silhouettes and find out if any have a dot on their back. Tell them that the person with a dot is experiencing a particular problem (e.g. HIV/AIDS). Ask them to discuss what the implications for the family are of this person having the particular problem. What has changed within the family? How will the family manage their situation? What will happen if the problem gets worse? How does this problem impact upon the others? What are the implications of this? How is the person being treated by other family members, or the community at large? Finally, how have family members' dreams and ambitions changed as a result of their family problem? Give the groups 15 minutes to discuss this issue.

Step 8: Ask each group to stand up and report back on what they discussed. Have them tell the plenary how their family reacted to the person having the problem, what they will do now to survive, and how their dreams and problems have changed as a result of the problem. Each group should take no longer than 3 minutes to tell the plenary about their family.

Step 9: Ask each group to return to their families once more. Ask them to discuss ways in which the family can help the member of the family with experiencing the problem. Give each group 10 minutes to complete this exercise.

Step 10: Have each group present its findings in plenary. Give each group 3 minutes to report back to the plenary.

Step 11: Ask participants, as individuals, to tell the plenary how they feel. Give people time to express their emotions in the open. Do not interfere during this session. Be willing to allow silence as people gather their thoughts. Allow 20 minutes for this session.

Step 12: Ask groups to return to the plenary group and to place their silhouette families in the centre of the room. Tell them that this 'community' has to solve the problems together. The only condition is that someone from a family would first have to ask either another family or the broader community for help. The programme will only start once someone says, "I need help..."

Give the group 20-30 minutes for this session, and be sure to document the suggestions for help that are discussed

This tool has followed a process of analysing the effects of specific problem towards a process of defining the solutions.

5.3.8. Disease transmission routes (and barriers)

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to explore participants understanding and perception on how diseases are transmitted from one host to another. This can be done for the oral-faecal contamination route, malaria, bilharzias, scabies, worms or any other communicable diseases. This tool is used if health specific related problems have been identified.

TIME REQUIRED: 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A set of pre-prepared cards showing routes and barriers for the identified transmission route to be explored.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Ask the groups to identify the ways in which the disease is transmitted from one host to another by placing the cards in a sequence that they think is correct for the various transmission paths. For instance, one could ask people to use the cards to depict the routes that allow fresh faeces to eventually enter the mouth and cause diarrhoea. Then ask the groups to identify the barriers would prevent the disease from reaching the new host. This last task is part of identifying solutions (see section 5.4.3).

The tool is useful to investigate and analyse local people's understanding of how diseases are transmitted and what can be done to block transmission routes. As an alternative to the use of pre-prepared cards, participants can be encouraged to draw their own routes and barriers.

5.3.9. Water quality testing

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to raise the community's awareness of the quality of their drinking water, and to create the conditions for further participatory exercises, which can help communities decide on steps needed to improve their drinking water quality. This exercise is only undertaken if water quality has been identified as a problem or as a cause of health problems.

TIME REQUIRED: 30 minutes (up to 4 days for test results).

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: Small plastic beakers or test tubes containing active agents that test for e. coli.
 Correctly collected water samples.

The best testing system does not require incubation. However, if only the incubation tests are available, you can ask the participants to place the beakers under their clothes against their skin for the require length of time.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Collect water samples from a small number of points in the community. These points should include water from:

- The water source.
- The water storage system.
- A communal collection point.
- A private collection point.
- A private storage point.

Ensure that water from these points is collected in the same way that it is normally collected. For instance, collect water directly from the tap (into a beaker) rather than first transferring the water into another "foreign" vessel and then into a beaker. Similarly, collect water from domestic water sources using the same utensil (cup or ladle) that the household uses to transfer water from the storage container for domestic use.

Proceed as per the instructions of your particular test kit until the test indicates the result. It is advisable to collect two samples from each point. Leave one with the community and take the other away as a sample. Compare the results when you return to the field.

If various samples indicate contamination, proceed with a detailed discussion about the possible causes. Your discussion should then lead on to identifying solutions.

5.4. Planning for solutions (STEP 3)

During this stage, the groups go through a process of identifying possible solutions to the problems identified and analysed, as well as the possible resources that would be needed for each solution.

5.4.1. Story with a gap

PURPOSE: The purpose of this tool is to assist communities to plan by involving individuals in a critical analysis of their own situation. It encourages goal setting and ultimately behaviour change.

TIME REQUIRED: Up to 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A range of pictures in pairs that show 'before' and 'after' scenarios in communities. For example:

- ✓ Bad sanitation situation versus good sanitation situation.
- ✓ Basic water supply versus improved water supply
- ✓ A queue of women at a broken pump versus no queue at a repaired pump
- ✓ A school in great disrepair versus a well kept school with flowers and good facilities

The 'before' and 'after' situation that you use will be dependent upon the problems that the group has identified and analysed. This may mean that you will have to prepare new pictures for this exercise. This can be done quickly without the need for a skilled artist. It is the concept of 'before' and 'after' that is important and the group can define their own 'after' situation based upon their needs and goals.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Introduce the exercise to the participants. Show them the picture of the 'before' scene and ask them to describe what they see and state why they think that situation exists. Then show them the 'after' scene and ask them again to describe what they see. In the small groups, ask them to create a story from their own experience to describe what steps the community in the pictures followed to move from one situation to the next. The small groups must then report their stories back to the plenary and discuss the issues by focusing on:

- What caused the problems?
- What do the groups think the community did to solve the problems?

- What obstacles faced the community along the way?
- What resources the community needed to achieve this?

Each of these steps and the issues raised can be drawn on pieces of paper and placed between the two pictures in order to keep a record of the discussions.

Remember that this exercise could be a follow-up from an earlier problem identification exercise. The group will already know the problems or the 'before' situation. This exercise can be repeated for every problem raised. Remember to ensure that the group keeps a good record of all the solutions and issues raised.

5.4.2. Open-ended social drama

PURPOSE: The purpose of this exercise is to introduce participants to evocative, open-ended stories that can stimulate discussion among the group about particular issues. It is useful to help identify solutions to problems or, to stimulate debate about an issue and achieve consensus.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: No materials required.

HOW TO FACILITATE: If you have a large group, divide it up into smaller groups of 5 to 10 people. Present a short drama to the participants that demonstrates a situation of conflict, or a difficult problem that must be resolved (your choice may be dependant on the problems identified in earlier exercises). Examples of short dramas/plays could be:

- Gender conflict
- A water system breaks down.
- An illness spreads in a family.
- A teenage pregnancy.

The drama presented should not have a conclusion. Following the short drama, ask the groups to discuss how the drama would be concluded. After they have discussed the conclusion, ask them to either share the conclusion with the larger group or, if they prefer, they can act out the conclusion.

This exercise can be used to analyse problems and to identify local solutions. In addition, the mandated community group tasked with a development process can use this drama method to stimulate discussion in community meetings to help them achieve consensus on issues and to raise awareness.

5.4.3. Disease barriers

As noted in section 5.3.8, this exercise is a continuation of the disease transmission route tool.

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to identify actions that can be taken to block the disease transmission routes. The exercise allows people to decide which behaviours they wish to target for change, and can be used to clarify what they will do to support the changes in the identified behaviour.

TIME REQUIRED: 30 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED:

- A previously completed disease transmission route exercise
- A set of pictures of potential barriers.
- Blank paper
- Marker pens.

If pre-prepared pictures are not available, the groups can identify and draw their own.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Tell the group that because they now know the disease transmission routes, they can now think about what can be done to stop or block these routes. Each group should take a set of drawings and agree as a group where to put it on their transmission routes diagram, in order to stop or block the different each route. Facilitate a discussion with the groups centred around what the group feels would be the best ways to implement the identified 'barriers' or solutions.

5.5. Selecting options (STEP 4)

All the solutions identified in step 3 are then prioritised into groupings that allow the community group to select those that will have the biggest impact in solving the problems and will also be the most feasible to implement with the available resources.

5.5.1. Decision matrix

PURPOSE: The purpose of this tool is to assist groups to discuss and decide which of their identified solutions are most viable to implement at local level. This is a very powerful decision making tool and can be adapted by a facilitator to assist groups to analyse and make decisions about a whole range of issues. Only one method is presented here.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED:

- Pictures of the range of solutions identified in previous sessions.
- A matrix, drawn on the ground or on large sheets of newsprint.
- Labels with pictures and/or writing representing:
 - ✓ East to implement.
 - ✓ In-between to implement.
 - ✓ Hard to implement.

- ✓ High impact.
- ✓ Medium impact.
- ✓ Low impact.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Draw a matrix as shown in Figure 5:

X	Easy to Implement	In-between to Implement	Hard to Implement
High Impact			
Medium Impact			
Low Impact			

Figure 5: Decision matrix

Ask the participants to place the solutions in the block that they think they fit best. For example, if a community has identified that keeping the area around their hand-pump clean and free from stagnant water is one of the solutions to their health problems, then they would place this solution in the relevant block of the matrix. They may decide that it is easy to do and will have a high impact. Once this has been completed with all the solutions, discuss with the group which solutions they now feel they should target as a first step in their development process. Any solutions in the 'high impact' and 'easy to implement' block will be a natural choice. This set of solutions (if there are many) can be taken through a new decision matrix, to further analyse them (e.g. cost versus locally available skills)

In some cases, you may find the group you are working with are confused by the matrix. If this happens, you can easily revert to the using the *three-pile sorting* method discussed in section 5.3.4 above. You would simply ask the group to do this twice, once for the ease of implementation issues and a second time (using the easy to implement pile) for the level of impact.

5.5.2. Option ladders

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to explore different options within a solution. For example, it can be used to help a community to decide what levels of water or sanitation options are the most appropriate for them. It can also be used to help assess which of the options are prevalent in a community and to highlight what options people wish to explore further.

TIME REQUIRED: 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A pre-prepared set of pictures that depict the various options. In the sanitation options example, the pictures would depict the whole sanitation ladder of options, from open field defecation, through unimproved pit toilets all the way through ventilated pit latrines and finally flushing toilets.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Lay the pictures of the options out on the floor for the group to see. Ask the group to first agree on what each picture represents in relation to their community. Next, ask them to arrange the pictures in a row, ranging from what they believe is the worst situation to the best. Once complete, provide the group with number of coloured markers (beans, stones, pieces of paper) and tell them to use them to indicate those situations that exist in their community. Up to this stage, this tool is an assessment tool.

Next, ask the participants to use a different set of markers to indicate those options that they would like to implement in their community in the future. Now give the group another set of markers and ask them to use them to indicate the time-scale for the implementation of the selected future options.

From this point, the selected future options can be taken into a decision matrix as described in section 5.5.1 above, which will help the participants to realistically decide on which options are feasible and appropriate to their circumstances.

The picture that depicts the current situation and the selected final future option/s, can also be taken forward into a *story with a gap* (section 5.4.1) for further analysing what the constraints and resources are that will hinder or assist the development process.

This is one of the tools that may require you as a facilitator to provide external input if asked to do so. This will usually arise if the options being discussed include technical options that the group feels they do not know enough about.

5.5.3. Pocket chart

This tool has already been discussed in section 5.3.2. At this stage in the process, it can be used in much the same way as the decision matrix is used, or it can be used as a voting tool to achieve consensus on the chosen solutions.

5.5.4. Question box

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to provide participants with an opportunity to ask questions in a way that does not force them to express their concerns publicly. This activity can be used throughout the entire process as a way of allowing people to raise concerns at any time. It is presented at this stage as a specific activity as it allows people to raise concerns and issues at the vital stage of prioritising solutions, which may be a cause for contention and conflict.

TIME REQUIRED: 45 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A closed box or other container with a slot cut into it like a ballot box.
 Slips of paper.
 Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Show the group the *question box* and invite them to place any question they have in the box on the slip of paper. Inform them that they may place a question in the box at any time. When answering the questions, open the box in front of everyone and ask each of the participants in the group to pick one of the pieces of paper that are in the box. Ask each person who has a slip of paper with a question on it to first read the question and then try to answer. After this, the floor can be opened for other people to suggest answers to the posed question. Make sure that everyone understands that no question or concern should be laughed at or dismissed.

5.6. Planning for change (STEP 5)

The selected solutions are then scrutinised and detailed implementation plans are developed. If other external role players become involved at this stage, you will need to ensure that they understand the process followed up to this point and to show the necessary respect for it. Examples of external role players may be other sector government departments, NGO's, funders or consultants. They need to understand that they are there to assist and add value to the process and they must follow the same methodology that you have done so far.

5.6.1. Roles and responsibilities

PURPOSE: The aim of the exercise is to explore the roles and responsibilities involved in a project and for long-term sustainability in relation to:

- Project management.
- Financial management.
- Tariff collection.
- Community relations and communication
- Operation and Maintenance (if required)
- Implementation and/or Construction

This tool can be used for the following:

- During the planning phase:

- ✓ To explore the various roles and responsibilities involved in implementing a project.
 - ✓ To facilitate decision making around which roles and responsibilities various stakeholders or role-players will assume.
 - ✓ To explore the implications of assuming particular roles and responsibilities.
 - ✓ To develop action and monitoring plans for these roles and responsibilities.
 - ✓ To identify training needs:
 - ✓ To identify the skills and capacity needed to fulfil the roles and responsibilities identified.
 - ✓ To identify existing skills and capacity in relation to a particular group or function.
 - ✓ To identify gaps.
 - ✓ To identify training and capacity building needed for different groups or role-players.
- For monitoring and evaluation:
- ✓ To assess which responsibilities are assumed by different role-players
 - ✓ To assess which responsibilities are problematic for a particular group and the implications of this.

This tool can be facilitated in two ways:

- In themes:
 - ✓ Financial management.
 - ✓ Tariff collection.
 - ✓ Community relations and communication.
 - ✓ Operation and Maintenance.
 - ✓ Construction.
- All of the above themes collectively.

The target participants for this activity are:

- Community members spread throughout the project area.
- Project management structures such as Village Water Committees, Water Services Providers and Water Services Authorities.
- Trainees, e.g. O&M operators, structures responsible for financial management.

TIME REQUIRED: 120 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25. Can be split into smaller group to make it easier.

- MATERIALS NEEDED:**
- Pictures depicting roles and responsibilities through a project cycle.
 - Blank paper.
 - Marker pens.
 - Some means of recording the decisions taken.

HOW TO FACILITATE: For planning:

- Step 1: Place all the pictures on the floor / table in front of the group and fan them out so everybody can see them.
- Step 2: Introduce the tool: Each of the pictures shows different things that have to be performed on a project.
- Step 3: Ask the participants to discuss and agree on what is depicted in each of the pictures. If they feel that there are some roles or responsibilities not depicted, they can draw these and add them in.
- Step 4: Ask the participants to choose the roles and responsibilities they feel they as a group / committee would like to take on and put them to one side. You can explore why they feel it is important that they take them on.
- Step 5: Explore with participants who they think should take on the roles and responsibilities they have not chosen.
- Step 6: Explore with participants all the implications of where they have located the roles and responsibilities discussed.
- Step 7: Based on these discussions, facilitate the participants' development of an action plan around these options. The action plan should address who will do what, when, where and how.
- Step 8: From here, you could also facilitate a discussion around how this group will know that their action plan is being implemented effectively to enable them to monitor the process.

For a Training Needs Analysis:

- Steps 1-3: As above.
- Step 4: Ask the participants to discuss what skills are needed in order to fulfil these responsibilities effectively?
- Step 5: Ask participants to identify what skills and capacity they feel they have and what skills, capacity or support they feel they need in order to fulfil these effectively.

The outcomes of these discussions should then feed into the training and capacity building plan for the project.

For monitoring / evaluating:

- Steps 1-2: As above.
- Step 3: Ask the participants which of these responsibilities are happening now? This step will help to identify current gaps.

- Step 4: Explore causes and implications of problems.
- What does the problem mean and what / who does it effect?
- Step 5: Use the *story with a gap* to identify the desired stage of where the participants want to be.
- Step 6: Ask the participants to discuss the constraints and challenges of getting to the desired stage. Brainstorm the options. Which one's are easy or difficult?
- Step 7: Based on these discussions, facilitate the participants' development of an action plan. The action plan should address who will do what, when, where and how.
- Step 8: From here, you could also facilitate a discussion around how this group will know that their action plan is being implemented effectively to enable them to monitor the process.

5.6.2. Planning posters

PURPOSE: The purpose of the exercise is to enable participants to develop a plan to implement the selected solution.

TIME REQUIRED: 120 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Best facilitated in small groups of between five and ten.

- MATERIALS NEEDED:**
- The 'now' and 'future' options from the options ladders
 - Sticky tape.
 - Marker pens
 - Blank paper.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Give each group an identical set of 'now' and 'future' drawings and a *planning poster*. Give the group about 30 to 40 minutes to work out its arrangements of steps, and then ask each group to explain its plan to the other participants. Each group should be prepared to answer any specific questions that might arise, although a more general discussion or debate should be limited until each group has had a chance to present its work. After the presentations, encourage a group discussion aimed at reaching an agreement on a common plan.

The discussion should cover:

- The similarities among and differences between steps chosen by each group.
- What difficulties they might come across in trying to carry out these steps.
- What resources they might need to carry out these steps.
- The time necessary to carry out the plan.

Facilitate a discussion with the group on what it has learned during this activity, what it liked and what it did not like about the activity.

5.6.3. Problem box

PURPOSE: The purpose is to get the group to think about possible problems in implementing the plan and devise ways of overcoming them.

TIME REQUIRED: 60 minutes.

GROUP SIZE: Any group up to 25.

MATERIALS NEEDED: A closed box or other container with a slot cut into it like a ballot box.
 Slips of paper.
 Marker pens.

HOW TO FACILITATE: Ask everyone to write on a slip of paper a problem that they think may arise in taking the plan forward. Write this problem in a form of a question e.g. "What would we do if the person trained to do maintenance leaves the community?" Ask the participants to place all their problems in the container. This container becomes the *problem box*.

When all the problems have been collected, pass the *problem box* to one participant at a time and ask each participant to pick out a slip of paper and to answer the question. Give the group plenty of time to discuss the answers. If the participant can't answer the question, the question passed to the next person.

If there is time, it may be helpful to have the group sort the problems into different categories. The suggestions for two-pile sorting of problems are:

Pile 1:		Pile 2:
Start-up problems	&	On-going problems
Technical problems	&	Social problems
Difficult problems	&	Outside help needed

5.7. Planning for monitoring and evaluation (STEP 6)

Take the time to prepare and plan monitoring and evaluation (periodic evaluation during implementation or post-implementation). It helps if everyone knows why he or she is monitoring, and how it will be done. The first meeting to plan for monitoring can include all those directly involved in the activities as well as other interested groups. But it will be concentrated on those directly involved or those selected by the groups who will be responsible for monitoring.

Planning for monitoring can use a as explained in the following steps:

Step 1: Review the benefits and purpose of monitoring so that stakeholders can decide whether monitoring will help them.
Discuss reasons for monitoring.

Step 2: If PAME has been continually used, the insider objectives and activities will have been established during the participatory development planning process.

Review objectives and activities.

If insiders have not previously been involved, the objectives and activities as established by outsiders can be reviewed and discussed by insiders. A Participatory Assessment may be necessary if insiders and outsider objectives are very different.

Step 3: Discuss the information needed to help you know if activities are going well. Focus on the questions:

Develop monitoring questions.

- What do we want to know?
- What do we monitor that will tell us this?

The facilitator can write (or draw), on large sheets of paper or a blackboard, monitoring questions generated around each objective and activity. There should be agreement by the group on each monitoring question. If many questions are generated they can be ranked in order of importance.

Step 4: For each monitoring question, determine direct and/or indirect indicators that will answer the monitoring questions (see section under participatory evaluations for a more in-depth discussion regarding indicators).

Establish direct and indirect indicators.

Step 5: For each indicator or monitoring question, the most appropriate information-gathering tool must be chosen. Remember one tool can gather information that answers many monitoring questions.

Decide which information gathering tools are needed.

Step 6: Decide who will do the monitoring. Monitoring is not a specialist's job, but may require input from people with specific skills such as bookkeeping or engineering. It will also require a certain amount of time commitment from people.

Decide who will do the monitoring.

Step 7: It is important that information monitored be analysed at specific times throughout the activities. The analysis can be discussed at community meetings, posted or put in community newsletters. The community will then know whether or not activities are progressing as planned or if changes or modifications are required.

Analyse and present results.

5.8. Participatory evaluation (STEP 7)

The time that is taken to carefully prepare and plan a participatory evaluation is time well spent. It helps if everyone knows why we are evaluating and how we are going to do it.

The first meeting to prepare and plan the evaluation should be open to all stakeholders. This meeting could include all project stakeholders, both internal and external.

If a great number of people are interested in the evaluation, some of the responsibilities of the evaluation can be delegated to a smaller group, a community evaluation team. This team need not be the same people as those directly involved with the project implementation, but should rather be a mix that includes other stakeholders. The larger stakeholder group must first discuss why they are doing an evaluation and what they wish to know, in order to provide guidance to the community evaluation team.

The following is a step-by-step guide for designing and implementing a participatory evaluation process. Refer to Appendix A: 'The Seven Steps Chart' to see which of the tools presented in Chapter 5 can be used to assist you through the participatory evaluation steps.

- Step One:** The community's long-term and immediate objectives and the activities should be reviewed at this meeting.
Review objectives and activities
- If PAME has been used, the objectives and activities established during the participatory project development process can be reviewed.
 - If the activities have not been participatory, the objectives, as established by outsiders, can be reviewed.

- Step 2:** Why are we doing an evaluation?
Review of purpose of evaluation.
- What do we want to know?
- The participants will need to define the exact reasons for the evaluation.
 - This is the process of defining the broad categories of issues that you want the evaluation to give you answers on.

- Step 3:** The detailed questions must be designed in a way that will provide you with an indication of how well the project has fared on each broad category as defined in step 2.
Develop evaluation questions.

- Step 4:** In the larger group meeting, decide who will do the evaluation and who will want to know the results. It may be decided to include the whole community (especially if it is small), or only the beneficiaries, or delegate the responsibility for the evaluation to a smaller evaluation team.
Decide who will do the evaluation.
- The composition of the evaluation team should be decided by the larger group at this

first meeting.

- If it is known that some minority groups will not be represented, the facilitator may encourage the participation of spokespersons from these groups on the evaluation team.
- The evaluation team may include beneficiaries, those who may be disadvantaged by an activity, community members and other affected groups.

The larger group also decides who needs the results of the evaluation and when the results should be ready. This will depend on who needs the information to make decisions, and when decisions are to be made

Step 5: For each evaluation question, direct and indirect indicators are chosen.
Identify direct and indirect indicators.

- Direct indicators are pieces of information that expressly relate to what is being measured. For example, if information on crop yields is required, this is exactly what we will measure.
- Indirect indicators are essential pieces of information, chosen from amongst many possible pieces of information, to serve as substitutes or proxy's to answer questions and/or respond to statements that are difficult to measure. For example, instead of the direct indicator of income, indirect indicators of poverty chosen by stakeholders might be:
 - Persons are poor if they have to hire themselves out as labour.
 - Persons are rich if they can hire labour.

This type of indicator is obviously only locally specific and cannot be generalised.

Three important questions to be answered when defining the key indicators are:

- What do we want to know?
- What are the many pieces of information that could tell us this?
- What are the few pieces of information (key indicators) that will tell us this ?

Establishing good indicators will reduce the amount of information that has to be collected.

Step 6: For each key indicator you now need to define a mix of information resources that you can use or ask.
Identify the information sources for evaluation questions. If an existing participatory process is in place, especially a participatory monitoring system, much of your information may be obtained here. If information is not easily available, then an appropriate mix of participatory tools can be used or developed.

Step 7: The evaluation team must decide which skills and resources are available to them:
Determine the skills and labour that are required to obtain information.

- What resources do we need?
- What resources do we have, or can we develop?
- What other resources do we need to get?

Step 8: Specific dates and deadlines need to be agreed upon for each phase of the evaluation.
Determine when information gathering and analysis can be done.

Step 9: Each member of the evaluation must be allocated specific tasks.
Determine who will gather information.

Step 10: When all the tasks have been completed, it will be necessary to analyse and synthesise information for presentation. The participatory analysis tools described in Chapter 5 can be used to facilitate the analysis process.
Analyse and present results


The evaluation team can decide what will be the best way to present results, given the audience for whom the results are intended, the resources and time available.

Appendices

Appendix A: 'The Seven Steps Chart'

Appendix B: References and Resources

Appendix A: 'The Seven Steps Chart'



Appendix B: References and Resources

The following references and resources have been used and adapted in the production of this guideline:

These texts were used extensively:

Narayan D, Srinivasan L. *Participatory Development Toolkit: Training Materials for Agencies and Communities*. The World Bank: Washington DC 1994

Wood S, Sawyer R, Simpson-Hébert M. *PHAST Step-by-step Guide: A participatory approach for the control of diarrhoeal disease*. WHO: Geneva 1998

The Mvula Trust. *PHAST – South Africa: National Training Workshop Report and Reference Guide*. The Mvula Trust: Johannesburg 1998

D'Arcy DC. *The community's toolbox: The idea, methods and tools for participatory assessment, monitoring and evaluation in community forestry*. FAO: Bangkok 1990

Other General References:

Dayal R, van Wijk C, Mukherjee N. *Methodology for Participatory Assessments*. UNDP: New York

The World Bank. *The World Bank Participation Sourcebook*. The World Bank: Washington DC 1996

Inter-American Development Bank. *Resource Book on Participation*. Inter-American Development Bank: Washington

Plummer J. *Municipalities and Community Participation*. Earthscan Publications Ltd (for DFID): London 2000

Ashley A, Carney D. *Sustainable Livelihoods: Lessons from early experience*. DFID: London 1999

Carney D (ed.). *Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: What contribution can we make?* DFID: London 1998

Narayan D. *Participatory Evaluation: Tools for managing change in water and sanitation*. World Bank: Washington 1993

Campos J, Praline F. *Participatory Evaluation (draft)*. UNDP 1996

UNICEF. *A UNICEF Guide for Monitoring and Evaluation: Making a Difference?* UNICEF: New York 1996

FAO. *Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation: Handbook for Training Field Workers*. Craftman Press: Bangkok 1990