

d·i·e



Deutsches Institut für
Entwicklungspolitik

German Development
Institute

Studies

Water Governance in the Kyrgyz Agricultural Sector: On its Way to Integrated Water Resource Management?

*Elke Herrfahrdt
Martin Kipping
Tanja Pickardt
Mathias Polak
Caroline Rohrer
Carl Felix Wolff*

German Development Institute (DIE)

The German Development Institute is a multidisciplinary research, consultancy, and training institute for Germany's bilateral development cooperation as well as for multilateral development cooperation. On the basis of independent research, it acts as consultant to public institutions in Germany and abroad on current issues of cooperation between developed and developing countries. In a 9-month training course, the German Development Institute prepares German and European university graduates for careers in the field of development policy.

Elke Herrfahrdt, economist, German Development Institute (DIE)
E-Mail: elke.herrfahrdt@die-gdi.de

Martin Kipping, political scientist, Federal Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)
E-Mail: martin.kipping@bmz.bund.de

Tanja Pickardt, geographer, German Technical Cooperation (GTZ)
E-Mail: tanja.pickardt@gtz.de

Mathias Polak, political scientist, freelance consultant
E-Mail: mathias.polak@web.de

Caroline Rohrer, social anthropologist, Epos Health Consultants
E-Mail: rohrer@epos.de

Carl Felix Wolff, geographer, German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN)
E-Mail: wolff.felix@web.de

Studies / Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik
ISSN 1860-0468

**Water governance in the Kyrgyz agricultural sector : on its way to
Integrated Water Resource Management? / Elke Herrfahrdt – Bonn :**
Dt. Inst. für Entwicklungspolitik, 2006 – (Studies / Deutsches Institut für
Entwicklungspolitik ; 14)
ISBN 3-889-306-4

© Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik gGmbH
Tulpenfeld 4, 53113 Bonn
☎ +49 (0)228 94927-0
☎ +49 (0)228 94927-130
E-Mail: die@die-gdi.de
<http://www.die-gdi.de>

Contents

Abbreviations

Preface

Summary 1

Introduction 13

Part A: Research design 19

1 Conceptual framework: normative and analytical concepts 21

1.1 Normative model: Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) 21

1.1.1 The eco-system approach: ecological integration 24

1.1.2 Maximizing societal benefits: sectoral integration 27

1.1.3 Managerial principles: regulatory integration 30

1.2 Analytical framework: institutional economics 33

1.2.1 Explaining water governance 35

1.2.2 Explaining change in water governance 38

2 Water governance in Kyrgyzstan 43

2.1 The Soviet legacy 44

2.2 Institutional environment 47

2.2.1 Water legislation 49

2.2.2 Legislation on WUAs 50

2.3 Institutional arrangement 50

2.3.1 Governmental water management organizations 51

2.3.2 Local organizations involved in water governance 57

Part B:	Analysis of Kyrgyz water governance	61
3	Ecological integration	63
3.1	Hydrological boundaries	63
3.1.1	Management structure and hydrological boundaries	66
3.1.2	Groundwater – surface water interaction	73
3.2	Integration of water quantity and water quality	74
3.2.1	Management of water quality	76
3.2.2	Legal provisions on the protection of water quality	78
3.2.3	Monitoring of water quality	79
3.3	Water-land interaction	81
3.3.1	Waterlogging and salinization	82
3.3.2	Soil erosion	88
4	Sectoral integration	91
4.1	...of ecological externalities	91
4.1.1	Legal responses to environmental problems	91
4.1.2	Nature conservation in practice	93
4.2	...of economic externalities	96
4.2.1	Inclusive water allocation	97
4.2.2	Financial sustainability	101
4.3	...of social externalities	116
4.3.1	Poverty	116
4.3.2	Health	121
4.3.3	Gender	123
4.3.4	Conflict management	126

5	Regulatory integration	131
5.1	Decentralization and participation	131
5.1.1	Division of labor according to the principle of subsidiarity	132
5.1.2	Bottom-up approaches to water management	144
5.2	Information and communication	152
5.2.1	Information on individual water use	152
5.2.2	Information on needs of all users affected by management decisions	154
5.2.3	Reliability of water quotas to be delivered by authorities	156
5.3	Demand management	158
5.3.1	Volumetric water fees	158
5.3.2	Recognition of different crop water needs	160
5.3.3	Public initiatives to reduce water losses	162
6	Conclusion	164
6.1	Varying degrees of progress on different components of IWRM	165
6.2	Reasons for varying degrees of progress	167
6.2.1	Objective reasons for change	167
6.2.2	Political articulation and actual reform program	167
6.2.3	Institutional change	170
6.2.4	Behavioral change and mind change	172
6.3	Main recommendations	175
6.4	Resume and outlook	179
	Bibliography	181
	Glossary	189
	Appendix	191

Boxes

Box 1:	The engineering approach to water management	22
Box 2:	Kyrgyz water resources and their use	42
Box 3:	The Kyrgyz agricultural sector	43
Box 4:	Traditional water management in Central Asia	46
Box 5:	The Kyrgyz land reform	47
Box 6:	The administrative structure of the Kyrgyz Republic	51
Box 7:	The potential of Kyrgyz WUAs for promoting IWRM principles	56
Box 8:	International water management	67
Box 9:	Case study: consequences of disregarding hydrological boundaries	69
Box 10:	Inappropriate use of fertilizer	75
Box 11:	Selected donor-financed projects	104
Box 12:	Causes and consequences of rural poverty in Kyrgyzstan	117
Box 13:	Dispute management organizations and their relevance	127
Box 14:	Case study: suboptimal solution to water theft from the Nurgaziev canal	129

Figures

Figure 1:	The IWRM Pyramid	25
Figure 2:	Water governance	34
Figure 3:	Subjective model of institutional change	40
Figure 4:	Institutional arrangement of Kyrgyz water governance	53
Figure 5:	Stakeholders involved in local water management	55

22	Figure 6: Organizational structure of WUAs, as set out in the 2002 Law on WUAs	58
42	Figure 7: Hydrographical map of Kyrgyzstan	64
43	Figure 8: Vicious circle in Kyrgyz irrigation services	112
46	Figure 9: Subjective model of change, adapted to the Kyrgyz irrigation sector	168
47	Figure 10: Map of the Kyrgyz Republic with selected research areas	194

Tables

56	Table 1: Major river basins in the Kyrgyz Republic	65
67	Table 2: World Bank estimates of indirect transfers associated with water use for irrigation	107
69	Table 3: Interviews conducted, by stakeholder groups	192